IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

FOR THE DISTRICT OF OREGON

RANDI BYERS, REBECCA FARRIS individually, and as representatives of a class of similarly situated persons, 3:12-CV-01125-PK

ORDER

Plaintiffs,

v.

USAA CASUALTY INSURANCE COMPANY, a foreign insurance company doing business in the State of Oregon; UNITED SERVICES AUTOMOBILE ASSOCIATION, a foreign intra-insurance company doing business in the State of Oregon; USAA GENERAL INDEMNITY COMPANY, a foreign insurance company doing business in the State of Oregon; USAA COUNTY MUTUAL INSURANCE COMPANY, a foreign insurance company doing business in the State of Oregon; GARRISON PROPERTY AND CASUALTY INSURANCE COMPANY, a foreign insurance company doing business in the State of Oregon; and JOHN DOES I-XX,

Defendants.

1 - ORDER

## THANE TIENSON

Landye Bennett Blumstein, LLP 3500 Wells Fargo Center 1300 S.W. Fifth Ave., Suite 3500 Portland, OR 97201 503-224-4100

Attorneys for Plaintiffs

APHRODITE KOKOLIS DAVID C. SCOTT JAY WILLIAMS MARCI A. EISENSTEIN Schiff Hardin LLP 233 S. Wacker Dr. Suite 6600 Chicago, Il 60606 312-258-5500

# MATTHEW C. CASEY

**STUART DUNCAN JONES** Bullivant Houser Bailey, PC 300 Pioneer Tower 888 S.W. Fifth Ave. Portland, OR 97204 503-499-4478

Attorneys for Defendants

### BROWN, Judge.

Magistrate Judge Paul Papak issued a Findings and Recommendation (#39) on September 28, 2012, in which he recommends the Court **GRANT** Plaintiffs' Motion (#22) for Voluntary Dismissal without prejudice, **DENY as moot** Defendants' Motion (#17) to Dismiss, **DENY as moot** Motions (#30, #31, #32) for Leave to Appear *Pro Hac Vice*, and **DISMISS** this case **without prejudice**.

This matter is before this Court pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1) and Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 72(b).

2 - ORDER

#### STANDARDS

Because no objection to the Magistrate Judge's Findings and Recommendations (#39) was timely filed by any party, this Court is relieved of its obligation to review the record *de novo*. See United States v. Reyna-Tapia, 328 F.3d 1114, 1121 (9<sup>th</sup> Cir. 2003)(*en banc*). See also United States v. Bernhardt, 840 F.2d 1441, 1444 (9<sup>th</sup> Cir. 1988). The Court has reviewed the legal principles *de novo* and does not find any error.

#### CONCLUSION

The Court **ADOPTS** Magistrate Judge Papak's Findings and Recommendation (#39) and, accordingly, **GRANTS** Plaintiffs' Motion (#22) for Voluntary Dismissal without prejudice, **DENIES as moot** Defendants' Motion (#17) to Dismiss, **DENIES as moot** Plaintiffs' Motions (#30, #31, and #32) for Leave to Appear *Pro Hac Vice*, and **DISMISSES** this matter without prejudice.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

DATED this 16<sup>th</sup> day of November, 2012.

/s/ Anna J. Brown

ANNA J. BROWN United States District Judge