

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE DISTRICT OF OREGON**

**JULIE RENEE WENTZEK,**

Plaintiff,

v.

**CAROLYN W. COLVIN,**  
Commissioner of Social Security,

Defendant.

Case No. 3:12-cv-01687-SI

**ORDER**

On September 3, 2013, the Court reversed the Commissioner's determination that Plaintiff was not disabled and was not entitled to disability benefits, and remanded the matter back to the agency for further proceedings. Dkt. 19. On November 27, 2013, the Court denied the Commissioner's motion to amend or correct the judgment. Dkt. 23. Before the Court is Plaintiff's application for attorney fees pursuant to the Equal Access to Justice Act ("EAJA"), 28 U.S.C. § 2412. Dkt. 24.

The EAJA authorizes the payment of attorney's fees to a prevailing party in an action against the United States, unless the government shows that its position in the underlying litigation "was substantially justified." 28 U.S.C. § 2412(d)(1)(A). Although the EAJA creates a presumption that fees will be awarded to a prevailing party, Congress did not intend fee shifting

PAGE 1 – ORDER

to be mandatory. *Flores v. Shalala*, 49 F.3d 562, 567 (9th Cir. 1995). The decision to deny EAJA attorney's fees is within the discretion of the court. *Id.*; *Lewis v. Barnhart*, 281 F.3d 1081, 1083 (9th Cir. 2002). A social security claimant is the "prevailing party" following a sentence-four remand pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 405(g) either for further administrative proceedings or for the payment of benefits. *Flores*, 49 F.3d at 567-68 (citing *Shalala v. Schaefer*, 509 U.S. 292, 300 (1993)). Fee awards under the EAJA are paid to the litigant, and not the litigant's attorney, unless the litigant has assigned his or her rights to counsel to receive the fee award. *Astrue v. Ratliff*, 560 U.S. 586, 130 S.Ct. 2521, 2528-29 (2010).

Plaintiff seeks an award of attorney fees in the amount of \$2,949.74 and costs and expenses in the amount of \$9.58. Defendant does not challenge the applicability of the EAJA statute and does not object to Plaintiff's request for attorney fees. Dkt. 25. The Court has reviewed Plaintiff's motion and agrees with the parties that the EAJA petition is proper and the amount requested is reasonable.

Therefore, Plaintiff's application for attorney fees (Dkt. 24), is GRANTED. Plaintiff is awarded \$7,186.92 for attorney fees under 28 U.S.C. § 2412(d). EAJA fees, expenses, and costs are subject to any offsets allowed under the Treasury Offset Program, as discussed in *Astrue v. Ratliff*, 560 U.S. 586, 130 S.Ct. 2521 (2010). Because Plaintiff has filed with the court an assignment of EAJA fees to her counsel, Defendant shall cause the payment of fees to be made directly to Plaintiff's counsel.

**IT IS SO ORDERED.**

DATED this 24th day of December 2013.

/s/ Michael H. Simon  
Michael H. Simon  
United States District Judge