

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE DISTRICT OF OREGON

HANNAH FREDRICKSON, ASHLEY  
KRENING, and MAURIALEE BRACKE,

3:13-cv-00029-HU

Plaintiffs,

ORDER

v.

STARBUCKS CORPORATION,  
a Washington corporation,

Defendant.

MARSH, Judge

Magistrate Judge Hubel issued a Findings and Recommendation (#87) on August 7, 2014, recommending that Defendant should be awarded \$350 in costs under Defendant's Bill of Costs (#61). That same day Judge Hubel issued a second Findings and Recommendation (#88) recommending that Defendant's Motion for Attorney's Fees (#57) should be denied. The matter is now before me pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1) and Fed. R. Civ. P. 72(b). Neither party has timely filed objections to either Findings and Recommendation.


When neither party objects to a Magistrate Judge's Findings and Recommendation, this Court is relieved of its obligation to

review the record *de novo*. United States v. Reyna-Tapia, 328 F.3d 1114, 1121 (9th Cir. 2003) (en banc); see also United States v. Bernhardt, 840 F.2d 1441, 1444 (9th Cir. 1988). Having reviewed the legal principles *de novo*, I find no error.

Accordingly, I ADOPT both of Magistrate Judge Hubel's Findings and Recommendations (#87, #88). IT IS ORDERED that pursuant to the Bill of Costs (#61), Defendant is awarded costs in the total sum of \$350.00. Defendant's Motion for Attorney's Fees (#57) is DENIED.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

DATED this 23 day of September, 2014.

  
Malcolm F. Marsh  
United States District Judge