

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE DISTRICT OF OREGON

Tavis Miller,

3:14-CV-872-PK

Plaintiff,

ORDER

v.

United Parcel Service, Inc.,

Defendant.

MATTHEW C. ELLIS

Law Office of Matthew C. Ellis
621 SW Morrison St. Suite 1050
Portland, OR 97205
(503) 226-0072

Attorneys for Plaintiff

JOANNA T. PERINI-ABBOTT

CALVIN L. KEITH

Perkins Coie, LLP
1120 NW Couch Street, 10th Fl.
Portland, OR 97209-4128
(503) 727-2000

Attorneys for Defendant

BROWN, Judge.

Magistrate Judge Paul Papak issued Findings and Recommendation (#39) on January 22, 2016, in which he recommended this Court deny United Parcel Service, Inc.'s (UPS) Motion (#23)

for Summary Judgment pursuant to the Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 56. The matter is now before this Court pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(B) and the Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 72(b).

Because no objections to the Magistrate Judge's Findings and Recommendation were timely filed, this Court is relieved of its obligation to review the record *de novo*. *United States v. Reyna-Tapia*, 328 F.3d 1114, 1121 (9th Cir. 2003). *See also* *Kimberly Day v. United Parcel Service, Inc.*, 2:09-CV-1261, (D. Or. 2011). Having reviewed the legal principles *de novo*, the Court does not find any error.

CONCLUSION

The Court **ADOPTS** Magistrate Judge Papak's Findings and Recommendation (#39). Accordingly, the Court **DENIES** the Defendant's Motion (#23) for Summary Judgment.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

DATED this 9th day of March, 2016.

/s/ Anna J. Brown

ANNA J. BROWN
United States District Judge