# IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF OREGON 

## WILLIAM CHAMBERS,

Plaintiff,
v.

WASHINGTON COUNTY, PAT GARRETT, and JOSH McCARTHY,

Defendants.

HERNÁNDEZ, District Judge:
Magistrate Judge Papak issued a Findings and Recommendation [23] on February 9, 2015, in which he recommends that this Court grant Defendants' Motion to Dismiss [4]

Plaintiff's claims against them. The matter is now before me pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(B) and Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 72(b).

Plaintiff filed timely objections to the Magistrate Judge's Findings \& Recommendation. When any party objects to any portion of the Magistrate Judge's Findings \& Recommendation, the district court must make a de novo determination of that portion of the Magistrate Judge's
report. 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1); Dawson v. Marshall, 561 F.3d 930, 932 (9th Cir. 2009); United States v. Reyna-Tapia, 328 F.3d 1114, 1121 (9th Cir. 2003) (en banc).

I have carefully considered Plaintiff's objections and conclude there is no basis to modify the Findings \& Recommendation. I have also reviewed the pertinent portions of the record $d e$ novo and find no other errors in the Magistrate Judge's Findings \& Recommendation.

## CONCLUSION

The Court ADOPTS Magistrate Judge Papak's Findings \& Recommendation [23], and therefore, Defendants' Motion to Dismiss [4] is granted.

IT IS SO ORDERED.
DATED this $/ b$ day of Apr., 2015.


