## IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

## FOR THE DISTRICT OF OREGON

EDGAR T. NUMRICH,

3:15-CV-00183-JE

Plaintiff,

ORDER

v.

STATE OF OREGON,

Defendant.

## BROWN, Judge.

Magistrate Judge John Jelderks issued Findings and Recommendation (#17) on June 17, 2015, in which he recommends the Court grant Defendant's Motion (#8-1) to Dismiss, deny as moot Defendant's Motion (#8-2) for More Definite Statement, and dismiss this matter with prejudice. Plaintiff filed timely Objections to the Findings and Recommendation. The matter is now before this Court pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1) and Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 72(b).

1 - ORDER

When any party objects to any portion of the Magistrate Judge's Findings and Recommendation, the district court must make a *de novo* determination of that portion of the Magistrate Judge's report. 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1). See also Dawson v. Marshall, 561 F.3d 930, 932 (9<sup>th</sup> Cir. 2009); United States v. Reyna-Tapia, 328 F.3d 1114, 1121 (9<sup>th</sup> Cir. 2003)(en banc).

In his Objections Plaintiff reiterates the arguments contained in his Response to Defendant's Motion to Dismiss. This Court has carefully considered Plaintiff's Objections and concludes they do not provide a basis to modify the Findings and Recommendation. The Court also has reviewed the pertinent portions of the record *de novo* and does not find any error in the Magistrate Judge's Findings and Recommendation.

## CONCLUSION

The Court ADOPTS Magistrate Judge Jelderks's Findings and Recommendation (#17), GRANTS Defendant's Motion (#8-1) to Dismiss, DENIES as moot Defendant's Motion (#8-2) for More Definite Statement, and DISMISSES this matter with prejudice.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

DATED this 31st day of August, 2015.

/s/ Anna J. Brown

ANNA J. BROWN
United States District Judge