## IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

## FOR THE DISTRICT OF OREGON

CONTROL SOLUTIONS, INC.,

Plaintiff,

No. 3:15-cv-00748-PK

v.

MICRODAQ.COM, INC., and DOES 1-10,

ORDER

Defendants.

HERNÁNDEZ, District Judge:

Magistrate Judge Papak issued a Findings and Recommendation [27] on July 30, 2015, in which he recommends that this Court grant Defendants' Motion to Dismiss [17] Plaintiff's complaint for lack of personal jurisdiction. The matter is now before me pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(B) and Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 72(b).

Because no objections to the Magistrate Judge's Findings and Recommendation were timely filed, I am relieved of my obligation to review the record *de novo*. <u>United States v.</u> <u>Reyna-Tapia</u>, 328 F.3d 1114, 1121 (9th Cir. 2003) (en banc); <u>see also United States v. Bernhardt</u>, 840 F.2d 1441, 1444 (9th Cir. 1988) (*de novo* review required only for portions of Magistrate Judge's report to which objections have been made). Having reviewed the legal principles de novo, I find no error.

## **CONCLUSION**

The Court ADOPTS Magistrate Judge Papak's Findings & Recommendation [27], and therefore, Defendant's Motion to Dismiss [17] Plaintiff's complaint is granted.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

IT IS SO ORDERED. DATED this \_\_\_\_\_\_ day of \_\_\_\_\_\_ day of \_\_\_\_\_\_, 2015.

emainden

United States District Judge