# IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT <br> <br> FOR THE DISTRICT OF OREGON 

 <br> <br> FOR THE DISTRICT OF OREGON}

## EVOX PRODUCTIONS, LLC,

Plaintiff,
v.

CHROME DATA SOLUTIONS, LP,
Defendant.

No. 3:16-cv-00057-PK

ORDER

HERNANDEZ, District Judge:
Magistrate Judge Papak issued a Findings \& Recommendation (\#36) on August 31, 2016, in which he recommends the Court deny Defendant's motion to dismiss and the Court stay the action for forty-five days pending mediation. Defendant has timely filed objections to the Findings \& Recommendation. The matter is now before me pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1) and Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 72(b).

When any party objects to any portion of the Magistrate Judge's Findings \&
Recommendation, the district court must make a de novo determination of that portion of the

Magistrate Judge's report. 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1); Dawson v. Marshall, 561 F.3d 930, 932 (9th Cir. 2009); United States v. Reyna-Tapia, 328 F.3d 1114, 1121 (9th Cir. 2003) (en banc).

I have carefully considered Defendant's objections and conclude there is no basis to modify the Findings \& Recommendation. I have also reviewed the pertinent portions of the record de novo and find no other errors in the Magistrate Judge's Findings \& Recommendation.

## CONCLUSION

The Court ADOPTS Magistrate Judge Papak's Findings \& Recommendation [36], and therefore, Defendant's motion to dismiss [24] is denied and this action is stayed for forty-five days pending mediation. Plaintiff's motion for judicial notice [29] is denied as moot.

IT IS SO ORDERED.
$\qquad$ day of



