

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE DISTRICT OF OREGON

BETH RICHMOND, Personal
Representative of the Estate of JASON
RICHMOND, Deceased,

No. 3:16-cv-01936-PK
ORDER

Plaintiff,

v.

CHRYSLER GROUP LLC, a Delaware
Foreign limited liability company, and
JAKE SWEENEY CHRYSLER JEEP
DODGE, INC., an Ohio corporation,

Defendants.

HERNÁNDEZ, District Judge:

Magistrate Judge Paul Papak issued a Findings & Recommendation [9] on December 2, 2016, recommending that Defendant Sweeney's Motion to Dismiss for Lack of Personal Jurisdiction [3] be granted, and Defendant Chrysler's Motion to Dismiss or Transfer for Improper Venue [5] be denied. Chrysler has timely filed objections [11] to the Findings &

Recommendation. The matter is now before me pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1) and Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 72(b).

When a party objects to any portion of the Magistrate Judge's Findings & Recommendation, the district court must make a de novo determination of that portion of the Magistrate Judge's report. 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1); Dawson v. Marshall, 561 F.3d 930, 932 (9th Cir. 2009); United States v. Reyna-Tapia, 328 F.3d 1114, 1121 (9th Cir. 2003) (en banc).

I have carefully considered Defendant's objections and conclude there is no basis to modify the Findings & Recommendation. I have also reviewed the pertinent portions of the record de novo and find no errors in the Magistrate Judge's Findings & Recommendation.

CONCLUSION

The Court ADOPTS Magistrate Judge Papak's Findings & Recommendation [11], and therefore, Defendant Sweeney's Motion to Dismiss [3] is GRANTED, and Defendant Chrysler's Motion to Dismiss or Transfer for Improper Venue [5] is DENIED.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

DATED this 10 day of March, 2017.


MARCO A. HERNÁNDEZ
United States District Judge