IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE DISTRICT OF OREGON
PORTLAND DIVISION

THE ESTATE OF MARJORY GAIL THOMAS OSBORN-VINCENT,

No. 3:16-cy-02305-YY

OPINION AND ORDER

Plaintiff,

v.

AMERIPRISE FINANCIAL SERVICES, INC. et al.,

Defendants.

MOSMAN, J.,

On May 19, 2023, Magistrate Judge Youlee Yim You issued her Findings and Recommendations ("F&R") [ECF 258] recommending that I deny Defendants' Motion for Attorney Fees [ECF 237], deny Plaintiff's Motion for Sanctions [ECF 239], as amended by Plaintiff's Motion to Amend/Supplement [ECF 243], and reserve decision on Defendants' Motion for Costs [ECF 237]. No objections were filed. Upon review, I agree with Judge You, and I DENY Defendants' Motion for Attorney Fees and Plaintiff's Motion for Sanctions, as amended by Plaintiff's Motion to Amend/Supplement. Decision is reserved on Defendants' Motion for Costs.

## **DISCUSSION**

The magistrate judge makes only recommendations to the court, to which any party may file written objections. The court is not bound by the recommendations of the magistrate judge but retains responsibility for making the final determination. The court is generally required to make a de novo determination regarding those portions of the report or specified findings or

1 – OPINION AND ORDER

recommendation as to which an objection is made. 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(C). However, the court

is not required to review, de novo or under any other standard, the factual or legal conclusions of

the magistrate judge as to those portions of the F&R to which no objections are addressed. See

Thomas v. Arn, 474 U.S. 140, 149 (1985); United States v. Reyna-Tapia, 328 F.3d 1114, 1121 (9th

Cir. 2003). While the level of scrutiny under which I am required to review the F&R depends on

whether or not objections have been filed, in either case, I am free to accept, reject, or modify any

part of the F&R. 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(C).

**CONCLUSION** 

Upon review, I agree with Judge You's recommendations, and I ADOPT the F&R [ECF

258] as my own opinion. I DENY Defendants' Motion for Attorney Fees [ECF 237] and Plaintiff's

Motion for Sanctions [ECF 239], as amended by Plaintiff's Motion to Amend/Supplement [ECF

243]. Decision is reserved on Defendants' Motion for Costs [ECF 237]. IT IS SO ORDERED.

DATED this 28th day of July, 2023.

<u>Michael W. Mosma</u>n MICHAEL W. MOSMAN

Senior United States District Judge