

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE DISTRICT OF OREGON

DANIEL CARROLL,

No. 3:17-cv-00587-JE

Plaintiff,

v.

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

ORDER

Defendant.

HERNANDEZ, District Judge:

Magistrate Judge Jelderks issued a Findings and Recommendation (#6) on July 12, 2017, in which he recommends that this Court grant Defendant's motion to dismiss Plaintiff's Complaint. The matter is now before me pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(B) and Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 72(b).

Because no objections to the Magistrate Judge's Findings and Recommendation were timely filed, I am relieved of my obligation to review the record *de novo*. *United States v. Reyna-Tapia*, 328 F.3d 1114, 1121 (9th Cir. 2003) (en banc); *see also United States v. Bernhardt*, 840 F.2d 1441, 1444 (9th Cir. 1988) (*de novo* review required only for portions of Magistrate Judge's report to which objections have been made). Having reviewed the legal principles *de novo*, I find

1 - ORDER


no error.

CONCLUSION

The Court ADOPTS Magistrate Judge Jelderks's Findings & Recommendation [6].
Accordingly, Defendant's motion to dismiss [3] is granted, and this action is dismissed without
prejudice.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

DATED this 2 day of Aug, 2017.


MARCO A. HERNANDEZ
United States District Judge