## IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF OREGON

DMITRI SIMONS,

Case No. 3:18-cv-755-SB

Plaintiff,

**ORDER** 

v.

## COSTCO WHOLESALE CORPORATION,

Defendant.

James Mills, Law Office of James Mills, 707 SW Washington Street, Suite 1100, Portland, OR 97205. Of Attorneys for Plaintiff.

Ryan Kunkel, Dexter J. Pearce, and Laura E. Rosenbaum, STOEL RIVES LLP, 760 SW Ninth Avenue, Suite 3000, Portland, OR 97205. Of Attorneys for Defendant.

## Michael H. Simon, District Judge.

United States Magistrate Judge Stacie F. Beckerman issued Findings and Recommendation in this case on November 16, 2020. ECF 65. Judge Beckerman recommended that the Court grant the motion for summary judgment filed by Defendant Costco Wholesale Corporation (Costco). No party has filed an objection.

Under the Federal Magistrates Act ("Act"), the court may "accept, reject, or modify, in whole or in part, the findings or recommendations made by the magistrate." 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1). If a party files an objection to a magistrate judge's findings and recommendations,

PAGE 1 -ORDER

"the court shall make a de novo determination of those portions of the report or specified

proposed findings or recommendations to which objection is made." *Id.*; Fed. R. Civ. P. 72(b)(3).

If no party objects, the Act does not prescribe any standard of review. See Thomas v.

Arn, 474 U.S. 140, 152 (1985) ("There is no indication that Congress, in enacting [the Act],

intended to require a district judge to review a magistrate's report to which no objections are

filed."); United States. v. Reyna-Tapia, 328 F.3d 1114, 1121 (9th Cir. 2003) (en banc) (holding

that the court must review de novo magistrate judge's findings and recommendations if objection

is made, "but not otherwise").

Although review is not required in the absence of objections, the Act "does not preclude

further review by the district judge[] sua sponte . . . under a de novo or any other standard."

*Thomas*, 474 U.S. at 154. Indeed, the Advisory Committee Notes to Fed. R. Civ. P. 72(b)

recommend that "[w]hen no timely objection is filed," the court review the magistrate judge's

findings and recommendations for "clear error on the face of the record."

No party having made an objection, this Court follows the recommendation of the

Advisory Committee and reviews Judge Beckerman's Findings and Recommendation for clear

error on the face of the record. No such error is apparent. Accordingly, the Court **ADOPTS** 

Judge Beckerman's Findings and Recommendation, ECF 65. The Court GRANTS Costco's

Motion for Summary Judgment, ECF 53.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

DATED this 9th day of December, 2020.

/s/ Michael H. Simon

Michael H. Simon

United States District Judge

PAGE 2 –ORDER