

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

District of Oregon

Cecilia L. Barnes,

Plaintiff(s)

vs.

Case No: 6:05-CV-926-AA

Yahoo, Inc.,

Defendant(s).

Civil Case Assignment Order

(a) Presiding Judge: The above referenced case has been filed in the US District Court for the District of Oregon and is assigned for all further proceedings to:

Presiding Judge Hon. Ann Aiken

Presiding Judge's Suffix Code* AA

*These letters must follow the case number on all future filings.

(b) Courtroom Deputy Clerk: Questions about the status or scheduling of this case should be directed to Leslie Engdall at (541) 465-6452 or leslie_engdall@ord.uscourts.gov

(c) Civil Docket Clerk: Questions about Cm/ECF filings or docket entries should be directed to Charlene "Char" Pew at (541) 465-7535 or charlene_pew@ord.uscourts.gov

(d) District Court Website: Information about local rules of practice, Cm/ECF electronic filing requirements, and other related court information can be accessed on the court's website at www.ord.uscourts.gov

(e) Consent to a Magistrate Judge: In accordance with 28 U.S.C. Sec. 636(c) and Fed. R. Civ. P. 73, all United States Magistrate Judges in the District of Oregon are certified to exercise civil jurisdiction in assigned cases and, with the consent of the parties, may also enter final orders on dispositive motions, conduct trial, and enter final judgment which may be appealed directly to the United States Court of Appeals for the Ninth Circuit, and not to a District Judge of this Court.

Parties are encouraged to consent to the jurisdiction of a Magistrate Judge by signing and filing the Consent to Jurisdiction by a United States Magistrate Judge (a copy of the consent form is included with this assignment order). There will be no adverse consequences if a party elects not to file a consent.

Additional information about United States Magistrate Judges in the District of Oregon can be found on the court's website at www.ord.uscourts.gov

Dated: June 23, 2005

By: /s/ N. Munoz
N. Munoz, Deputy Clerk

For: **Donald M. Cinnamond, Clerk of Court**

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

District of Oregon

Cecilia L. Barnes,

Plaintiff(s)

vs.

Case No: 6:05-CV-926-AA

Yahoo, Inc.,

Defendant(s).

Discovery and Pretrial Scheduling Order

In order to facilitate and expedite discovery and the effective management of this case, the Court orders that:

(a) Corporate Disclosure Statement: In accordance with LR 83.16, any non-governmental corporate party must file a corporate disclosure statement concurrently with the filing of a first appearance.

(b) Initial Conference of Counsel for Discovery Planning:

- (1) Except in cases exempted under Fed. R. Civ. 26(a)(1)(E), upon learning the identity of counsel for Defendant(s), counsel for the Plaintiff(s) shall initiate communications with counsel for Defendant(s).
- (2) All counsel shall then confer as required by Fed. R. Civ. P. 26(f) within thirty (30) days after all Defendants have been served (See LR 26.1).
- (3) In accordance with LR 16.2(b) and LR 16.4(c), counsel shall also discuss their client's positions regarding consent to a Magistrate Judge and Alternate Dispute Resolution options.
- (4) If counsel for all of the parties agree to forego the initial disclosures required by Fed. R. Civ. P. 26(a)(1), they can use the Court form issued with this order (See LR 26.2). Whether or not the parties agree to forego the initial disclosures, they may seek discovery once the initial conference of counsel for discovery planning contemplated by Fed. R. Civ. P. 26(f) has occurred (See LR 26.1).

(c) Rule 16(b) Court Conference for Scheduling and Planning: Counsel for Plaintiff(s) and for Defendant(s) shall, during or promptly after the conference of counsel referred to in the prior paragraph, contact the assigned judge's deputy clerk and schedule a LR 16.2 conference for scheduling and planning, and the assigned judge shall hold the conference within thirty (30) days.

At the conference the parties will be prepared to discuss discovery, consent to a Magistrate Judge, scheduling or other issues presented by this action, including proposed modifications to the initial schedule set forth below (See LR 16.2).

(d) Pretrial and Discovery Deadlines: Not later than 120 days from the date of this order, counsel for all parties shall:

- (1) File all pleadings pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 7(a) and 15;
- (2) Join all claims, remedies and parties pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 18 and 19;
- (3) File all pretrial, discovery and dispositive motions;
- (4) Complete all discovery; and
- (5) Confer on Alternate Dispute Resolution options pursuant to LR 16.4(c).

(e) Pretrial Order Deadline: Unless otherwise waived by the court, not later than 150 days from the date of this order, counsel shall lodge a Joint Pretrial Order (See LR 16.6), and file a Joint Alternate Dispute Resolution Report (See LR 16.4).

(f) Service of this Order: Counsel for the Plaintiff shall serve this order, and all attachments, upon all other parties to the action.

(g) Cm/ECF Electronic Filing Requirements: In accordance with LR 100 and the court's Cm/ECF Procedural Order, Cm/ECF registered attorneys are authorized to electronically file and serve all pleadings (subsequent to the original complaint or removal papers), motions, and other papers. More information about electronic filing options, the Cm/ECF system, or the court's Cm/ECF Procedural Order, can be found on the court's website at www.ord.uscourts.gov/ecf

Dated: June 23, 2005

By: /s/ N. Munoz
N. Munoz, Deputy Clerk

For: **Donald M. Cinnamond, Clerk**

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

District of Oregon

Cecilia L. Barnes,

Plaintiff(s)

vs.

Case No: 6:05-CV-926-AA

Yahoo, Inc.,

Defendant(s).

Fed. R. Civ. P. 26(a)(1) Discovery Agreement

In accordance with LR 26.2, I state that the parties who have been served and who are not in default, have agreed to forego the disclosures required by Fed. R. Civ. P. 26(a)(1). The original of this Agreement will be filed with the Court, pursuant to Local Rule 26.2.

DATED:

Signature:

Name & OSB ID:

e-mail address:

Firm Name:

Mailing Address:

City, State, Zip:

Phone Number:

Parties Represented

cc: Counsel of Record

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

District of Oregon

Cecilia L. Barnes,

Plaintiff(s)

vs.

Case No: 6:05-CV-926-AA

Yahoo, Inc.,

Defendant(s).

**Consent to Jurisdiction by a Magistrate Judge
and Designation of the Normal Appeal Route**

In accordance with Fed. R. Civ. P 73(b), as counsel for the party (parties) identified below, I consent to have a United States Magistrate Judge conduct any and all proceedings in this case, including entry of orders on dispositive motions, trial, and entry of final judgment. I understand that withholding consent will not result in any adverse consequences. In accordance with Fed. R. Civ. P. 73(c), I agree that any appeal from a final order or judgment entered by a United States Magistrate Judge shall proceed directly to the United States Court of Appeals for the Ninth Circuit, and not to a District Judge of this Court.

DATED:

Signature:

Name & OSB ID:

e-mail address:

Firm Name:

Mailing Address:

City, State, Zip:

Phone Number:

Parties Represented

cc: Counsel of Record

**US District Court – Oregon
Civil Case Management Time Schedules**

Local Rule	Event or Requirement	Time Frame	Comment
LR 16.1(e)	Discovery and Pretrial Scheduling Order (with attachments)	Issued by the clerk's office at the time of filing, along with the summonses	Required to be served on all parties by the filing party
LR 16.2(d)	Completion of Discovery	Unless otherwise ordered by the court, within 120 days from the filing of the case	Discovery deadlines are set forth in the Discovery and Pretrial Scheduling Order
LR 16.2(a)	Rule 16(b) Conference	Scheduled by the assigned judge within 30 days after the required LR 26.1 Discovery Conference	Affirmative duty on all counsel to contact the assigned judge's courtroom deputy (See LR 16.2(a))
LR 16.4(c)	ADR Conference Requirements	Within 120 days from the initiation of the law suit	Parties must confer with other attorneys and unrepresented parties to discuss ADR options
LR 16.4(d)	Joint ADR Report	Within 150 days of the initiation of the law suit	The parties must file a Joint ADR Report
LR 16.4(f)(1)(B)	Selecting a Volunteer Mediator	Not later than ten (10) days after the entry of the court's order directing reference to a volunteer mediator	All represented and non-represented parties must agree
LR 16.4(f)(1)(D)	Notice to the Court that the Parties Are Unable to Select a Volunteer Mediator	Within ten (10) days after entry of a court order directing reference to a volunteer mediation	Plaintiff's attorney is responsible for notifying the court
LR 16.4(h)(1)	Notification of Private ADR Results	Within seven (7) working days after the conclusion of private ADR proceedings	Plaintiff's attorney is responsible for notifying the court
LR 16.4(h)(2)	Report of Court Appointed Private or Volunteer Mediation	Promptly if no settlement is achieved	Court appointed private or volunteer mediator is responsible for notifying the court
LR 16.6	Proposed Pretrial Order	Unless otherwise modified pursuant to LR 16.6(a), within 150 days from the filing date	PTO filing deadline is established in the Discovery and Pretrial Scheduling Order
LR 26.1	Initial Conference for Discovery Planning	Within 30 days from service of the last defendant	Held between parties
LR 83.16	Corporate Disclosure Statement	Filed concurrent with every party's first appearance	Filed and served on all parties