

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE DISTRICT OF OREGON

ROBERT CHEESEMAN,)	
)	
Plaintiff,)	
)	Civil No. 09-6310-TC
)	
v.)	
)	ORDER
RANDOLPH L. GARRISON,)	
)	
Defendant.)	


Magistrate Judge Thomas M. Coffin filed Findings and Recommendation on November 17, 2009, in the above entitled case. The matter is now before me pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(B) and Fed. R. Civ. P. 72(b). When either party objects to any portion of a magistrate judge's Findings and Recommendation, the district court must make a de novo determination of that portion of the magistrate judge's report. See 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1); McDonnell Douglas Corp. v. Commodore Business Machines, Inc., 656 F.2d 1309, 1313 (9th Cir. 1981), cert. denied, 455 U.S. 920 (1982).

Plaintiff has timely filed objections. I have, therefore, given de novo review of Magistrate Judge Coffin's rulings.

I find no error. Accordingly, I ADOPT Magistrate Judge Coffin's Findings and Recommendation filed November 17, 2009, in its entirety. Plaintiff's complaint fails to state a claim and is dismissed with prejudice.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

DATED this 15th day of DECEMBER, 2009.


UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE