Warren v. Mills et al Doc. 37

## IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF OREGON

## EUGENE DIVISION

JAMES	S ROBEI	RT WARREN	1,	)			
		Plai	intiff,	)			
				)	Civil	No.	10-842-TC
				)			
v.			)				
				)	ORDER		
DON 1	MILLS,	et al.,		)			
				)			
		Defe	endants.	)			

Magistrate Judge Thomas M. Coffin filed Findings and Recommendation on July 14, 2011, in the above entitled case. The matter is now before me pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(B) and Fed. R. Civ. P. 72(b). When either party objects to any portion of a magistrate judge's Findings and Recommendation, the district court must make a de novo determination of that portion of the magistrate judge's report. See 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1);

McDonnell Douglas Corp. v. Commodore Business Machines, Inc., 656 F.2d 1309, 1313 (9th Cir. 1981), cert. denied, 455 U.S. 920 (1982).

Plaintiff has timely filed objections. I have, therefore, given de novo review of Magistrate Judge Coffin's rulings.

I find no error. Accordingly, I ADOPT Magistrate Judge Coffin's Findings and Recommendation filed July 14, 2011, in its entirety. Defendants' motion to dismiss (#18) is allowed, and this proceeding is dismissed. The clerk of court will enter judgment accordingly.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

DATED this

264 day of

2011

UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE