

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE DISTRICT OF OREGON

WEBFORMIX, INC.,

Civ. No. 10-6229-TC

Plaintiff,

ORDER

v.

AIRSPAN NETWORKS INC.,

Defendant.

Aiken, Chief Judge:

Magistrate Judge Coffin issued his Findings and Recommendation in the above-captioned action on December 17, 2010. Magistrate Judge Coffin recommends that defendant's motion to dismiss for lack of personal jurisdiction be granted and the case dismissed. The matter is now before me. See 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(B) and Fed. R. Civ. P. 72(b).

When either party objects to any portion of a magistrate judge's Findings and Recommendation, the district court must make

a de novo determination of that portion of the magistrate judge's report. See 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1); McDonnell Douglas Corp. v. Commodore Business Machines, Inc., 656 F.2d 1309, 1313 (9th Cir. 1981). Plaintiff filed timely objections to the Findings and Recommendation. Upon de novo review of Magistrate Coffin's Findings and Recommendation, I find no error. Plaintiff fails to establish sufficient contacts with this forum, or conduct purposefully directed at this forum, to support the exercise of personal jurisdiction over defendant.

THEREFORE, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that Magistrate Judge Coffin's Findings and Recommendation (doc. 26) filed December 17, 2010 is ADOPTED in its entirety. Defendant's Motion to Dismiss for Lack of Personal Jurisdiction (doc. 4) is GRANTED, and this case is DISMISSED

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated this 29 day of March, 2011.



Ann Aiken

United States District Judge