IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

FOR THE DISTRICT OF OREGON

The Estate of MARJORY D. SHARP, by and through her Personal Representative, JEFFERY SHARP,)))		
Plaintiff,)		
v.)	CASE NO.	6:12-cv-0605-TC
CAROL CALLAHAN, OREGON CASCADES WEST COUNCIL of GOVERNMENTS, SAMARITAN HEALTH SERVICES, INDEPENDENCE HEALTH and REHABILITATION CENTER,))))	ORDER	
Defendants.)		

Magistrate Judge Thomas M. Coffin filed Findings and Recommendation on November 21, 2012, in the above entitled case. The matter is now before me pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(B) and Fed. R. Civ. P. 72(b). When either party objects to any portion of a magistrate judge's Findings and

1 – ORDER

Recommendation, the district court must make a *de novo* determination of that portion of the magistrate judge's report. <u>See</u> 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1); *McDonnell Douglas Corp.* v. *Commodore Business Machines, Inc.*, 656 F.2d 1309, 1313 (9th Cir. 1981), <u>cert. denied</u>, 455 U.S. 920 (1982).

Plaintiff has timely filed objections. I have, therefore, given *de novo* review of Magistrate Judge Coffin's rulings.

I find no error. Accordingly, I ADOPT Magistrate Judge Coffin's Findings and Recommendation filed November 21, 2012, in its entirety. Defendants' motions to dismiss (#10, #27, #28) are GRANTED. Plaintiff's *pro bono* counsel's request for termination of appointment (#41) is GRANTED.

This proceeding is dismissed. The clerk of court is directed to enter judgment accordingly.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

DATED this $\underline{//}$ day of December, 2012.

UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE