## IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF OREGON

RICHELLE A. FLOWERS,

Case No. 6:14-cv-01951-SB

Plaintiff,

**ORDER** 

v.

CAROLYN W. COLVIN,

Commissioner of Social Security,

Defendant.

## Michael H. Simon, District Judge.

United States Magistrate Judge Stacie F. Beckerman issued Findings and Recommendation in this case on February 11, 2016. Dkt. 25. Judge Beckerman recommended that the Court reverse the Commissioner's decision and remand this case for an immediate award of benefits. No party has filed objections.

Under the Federal Magistrates Act ("Act"), the court may "accept, reject, or modify, in whole or in part, the findings or recommendations made by the magistrate." 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1). If a party files objections to a magistrate's findings and recommendations, "the court shall make a de novo determination of those portions of the report or specified proposed findings or recommendations to which objection is made." Id.; Fed. R. Civ. P. 72(b)(3).

PAGE 1 – ORDER

If no party objects, the Act does not prescribe any standard of review. See Thomas v. Arn,

474 U.S. 140, 152 (1985) ("There is no indication that Congress, in enacting [the Act], intended

to require a district judge to review a magistrate's report to which no objections are filed.");

United States. v. Reyna-Tapia, 328 F.3d 1114, 1121 (9th Cir. 2003) (en banc) (holding that the

court must review de novo magistrate's findings and recommendations if objection is made, "but

not otherwise").

Although review is not required in the absence of objections, the Act "does not preclude

further review by the district judge[] sua sponte . . . under a de novo or any other standard."

Thomas, 474 U.S. at 154. Indeed, the Advisory Committee Notes to Fed. R. Civ. P. 72(b)

recommend that "[w]hen no timely objection is filed," the court review the magistrate's findings

and recommendations for "clear error on the face of the record."

No party having made objections, this Court follows the recommendation of the Advisory

Committee and reviews Judge Beckerman's Findings and Recommendation for clear error on the

face of the record. No such error is apparent. Accordingly, the Court ADOPTS Judge

Beckerman's Findings and Recommendation, Dkt. 25. The Commissioner's decision is

REVERSED and this case is REMANDED for an immediate award of benefits.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

DATED this 1st day of March, 2016.

/s/ Michael H. Simon

Michael H. Simon

United States District Judge

PAGE 2 – ORDER