

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE DISTRICT OF OREGON

EARL DOUGLAS WILKINS,

6:16-cv-00319-SB

Plaintiff,

ORDER

v.

COLETTE S. PETERS, et al.,

Defendants.

BROWN, Judge.

Magistrate Judge Stacie F. Beckerman issued Findings and Recommendation (#31) on June 20, 2017, in which she recommends the Court deny Plaintiff's Motion (#14) for Summary Judgment and grant Defendants' Motion (#21) for Summary Judgment. Plaintiff filed Objections to the Findings and Recommendation. The matter is now before this Court pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1) and Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 72(b).

When any party objects to any portion of the Magistrate Judge's Findings and Recommendation, the district court must make a *de novo* determination of that portion of the Magistrate Judge's report. 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1). *See also Dawson v. Marshall*, 561 F.3d 930, 932 (9th Cir. 2009); *United States v. Reyna-Tapia*, 328 F.3d 1114, 1121 (9th Cir. 2003) (*en banc*).

This Court has carefully considered Plaintiff's Objections and concludes they do not provide a basis to modify the Findings and Recommendation. The Court also has reviewed the pertinent portions of the record *de novo* and does not find any error in the Magistrate Judge's Findings and Recommendation.

CONCLUSION

The Court **ADOPTS** Magistrate Judge Beckerman's Findings and Recommendation (#31) and, therefore, **DENIES** Plaintiff's Motion (#14) for Summary Judgment and **GRANTS** Defendants' Motion (#21) for Summary Judgment.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

DATED this 25th day of July, 2017.



ANNA J. BROWN
United States District Judge