## IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF OREGON PORTLAND DIVISION

KEVIN W.,<sup>1</sup> No. 6:17-cv-1181-YY

Plaintiff, ORDER

v.

NANCY A. BERRYHILL, Acting Commissioner of Social Security,

Defendant.

## HERNÁNDEZ, District Judge:

Magistrate Judge You issued a Findings and Recommendation [16] on August 14, 2018 in which she recommends that the Court affirm the decision of the Commissioner. The matter is now before me pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1) and Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 72(b).

## 1 - ORDER

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> In the interest of privacy, this order uses only the first name and the initial of the last name of the non-governmental party or parties in this case.

Because no objections to the Magistrate Judge's Findings and Recommendation were timely filed, I am relieved of my obligation to review the record de novo. United States v. Reyna-Tapia, 328 F.3d 1114, 1121 (9th Cir. 2003) (en banc); see also United States v. Bernhardt, 840 F.2d 1441, 1444 (9th Cir. 1988) (de novo review required only for portions of Magistrate Judge's report to which objections have been made). Having reviewed the legal principles de novo, I find no error.

## **CONCLUSION**

The Court adopts Magistrate Judge You's Findings and Recommendation [16].

Accordingly, the Commissioner's decision is AFFIRMED.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

DATED this day of \_\_\_\_\_\_\_, 2018.

MARCO A. HERNÁNDEZ United States District Judge