IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF OREGON EUGENE DIVISION

JEFFREY TODD ATCHISON

Case No. 6:17-cv-01820-JR

ORDER

Plaintiff,

٧.

CALIBER HOME LOANS, INC., Defendant.

AIKEN, District Judge:

Magistrate Judge Jolie Russo filed her Findings and Recommendation ("F&R") (doc. 21) recommending that plaintiff's claim should be denied, the temporary restraining order ("TRO") in this case should be dissolved, and this action should be dismissed for failure to prosecute. The matter is now before me. See 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(B) and Fed. R. Civ. P. 72(b). No objections have been timely filed. Although this relieves me of my obligation to perform a de novo review, I retain the obligation to "make an informed, final decision." Britt v. Simi Valley Unified Sch. Dist., 708 F.2d 452, 454 (9th Cir. 1983), overruled on other grounds, United States v. Reyna-Tapia, 328 F.3d 1114, 1121–22 (9th Cir. 2003) (en banc). The Magistrates Act does not specify a standard of review in cases where no objections are filed. Ray v. Astrue, 2012 WL 1598239,

*1 (D. Or. May 7, 2012). Following the recommendation of the Rules Advisory Committee, I review the F&R for "clear error on the face of the record[.]" Fed. R. Civ. P. 72 advisory committee's note (1983) (citing *Campbell v. United States District Court*, 501 F.2d 196, 206 (9th Cir. 1974)); see also United States v. Vonn, 535 U.S. 55, 64 n.6 (2002) (stating that, "[i]n the absence of a clear legislative mandate, the Advisory Committee Notes provide a reliable source of insight into the meaning of" a federal rule). Having reviewed the file of this case, I find no clear error.

Thus, I adopt Magistrate Judge Russo's F&R (doc. 21) in its entirety. Accordingly, the TRO (doc. 8) is ordered dissolved. Defendant's Motion to Dismiss (doc. 15) and Request for Judicial Notice (doc. 16) are GRANTED. Accordingly, this action is dismissed.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated this 21st day of June, 2018.

Ann Aiken United States District Judge

au Ochen