IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

FOR THE DISTRICT OF OREGON

EUGENE DIVISION

JACKIE ERWIN RASBERRY II,

Case No. 6:19-cv-02097-MK OPINION AND ORDER

Plaintiff,

vs.

SOUTH COAST INTERAGENCY NARCOTICS TEAM, and COOS COUNTY,

Defendants.

AIKEN, District Judge:

Magistrate Judge Mustafa T. Kasubhai filed Findings and Recommendation ("F&R") (doc. 7) on July 2, 2020. The matter is now before me. See 28 U.S.C. § 636(b); Fed. R. Civ. P. 72. No objections have been timely filed. Although this relieves me of my obligation to perform a de novo review, I retain the obligation to "make an informed, final determination." Britt v. Simi Valley Unified Sch. Dist., 708 F.2d 452, 454 (9th Cir. 1983), overruled on other grounds, United States v. Reyna-Tapia, 328 F.3d 1114, 1121–22 (9th Cir. 2003) (en banc). The Magistrates Act does not specify a

standard of review in cases where no objections are filed. Ray v. Astrue, 2012 WL 1598239, *1 (D. Or. May 7, 2012). Following the recommendation of the Rules Advisory Committee, I review the F&R for "clear error on the face of the record[.]" Fed. R. Civ. P. 72 advisory committee's note (1983) (citing Campbell v. United States District Court, 501 F.2d 196, 206 (9th Cir. 1974)); see also United States v. Vonn, 535 U.S. 55, 64 n.6 (2002) (stating that, "[i]n the absence of a clear legislative mandate, the Advisory Committee Notes provide a reliable source of insight into the meaning

THEREFORE, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that I ADOPT Judge Kasubhai's F&R (doc. 7). This action is DISMISSED and a judgment shall be entered.

of" a federal rule). Having reviewed the file of this case, I find no clear error.

Dated this 20th day of July 2020.

/s/Ann Aiken

Ann Aiken United States District Judge