IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA

BRO-TECH CORPORATION t/a
THE PUROLITE COMPANY, et al.,

Plaintiffs,

V.

CIVIL NO. 05-CV-2330

THERMAX, INC. d/b/a THERMAX USA LTD, et al.,

Defendants.

FF 1 2009

ORDER

AND NOW, this 3rd day of September, 2009, upon consideration of Plaintiffs'

Amended Complaint [Doc. No. 22], the Motion for Partial Summary Judgment on Plaintiffs' RICO Claims filed by Defendants Gleasman, Gresham, Mukhopadhyay, Pudumjee, Sabzali, Sachdev and Shastri ("RICO Defendants") [Doc No. 271], the Motion for Summary Judgment filed by Defendants Gleasman, Gresham, Sabzali and Sachdev [Doc. No. 288], and the Motion for Summary Judgment filed by Defendants Mukhopadhyay, Pudumjee, Shastri, Thermax, Inc., and Thermax Ltd. [Doc. No. 289], and all responses, replies, sur-replies and supplemental filings related to these Motions, it is hereby **ORDERED** as follows:

- The RICO Defendants' Motion [Doc No. 271] is GRANTED in its entirety.
 Accordingly, Counts XI and XII of Plaintiffs' Amended Complaint are dismissed.
- 2. The Motion of Defendants Gleasman, Gresham, Sabzali and Sachdev [Doc.No. 288] is GRANTED IN PART AND DENIED IN PART as follows:

A. It is **GRANTED** in that:

 Count II of Purolite's Amended Complaint (Inevitable Disclosure) is dismissed;

- Count IV (Breach of Contract) is dismissed as to Defendant Gleasman only;
- Count VI (Tortious Interference with Existing and Prospective Contractual and Business Relations) is dismissed as to Defendants Gleasman and Sachdev only;
- 4. Count VII (Conversion) is dismissed;
- 5. Count IX (Commercial Disparagement) is dismissed;
- 6. Count XIII (Common Law Civil Conspiracy) is dismissed;
- B. In all other respects the Motion is **DENIED**.
- 3. The Motion of Defendants Mukhopadhyay, Pudumjee, Shastri, Thermax, Inc., and Thermax Ltd. [Doc. No. 289] is **GRANTED IN PART AND DENIED IN PART** as follows:

A. It is **GRANTED** in that:

- Count II of Purolite's Amended Complaint (Inevitable Disclosure) is dismissed;
- 2. Count VII (Conversion) is dismissed;
- 3. Count IX (Commercial Disparagement) is dismissed;
- 4. Count XIII (Common Law Civil Conspiracy) is dismissed;
- B. In all other respects the Motion is **DENIED**.

It is **FURTHER ORDERED** that the Court's Order of March 31, 2009 [Doc. No. 458] is **VACATED AND MODIFIED IN PART** as follows: that aspect of the Order dismissing Plaintiffs' Motion for Summary Judgment [Doc. No. 287] in its entirety is vacated; instead, Plaintiffs' Motion is **DISMISSED** in part, with respect to Plaintiffs' request for judgment on

Thermax's Counterclaims only; the Motion is reinstated with respect to Plaintiffs' request for dismissal of Thermax's eighth and ninth affirmative defenses.

It is **FURTHER ORDERED** that, upon consideration of Plaintiffs' Motion for Summary Judgment as to Thermax's eighth and ninth affirmative defenses [Doc. No. 287], the Motion is **GRANTED**. Thermax's eighth and ninth affirmative defenses are **dismissed**.

It is so **ORDERED**.

BY THE COURT:

YNTHIA M. RUFE, J.