IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA

KING DRUG COMPANY OF FLORENCE, IN et al., Plaintiffs,	: C., : CIVIL ACTION :
v.	: : No. 2:06-cv-1797
CEPHALON, INC., <u>et al</u> ., Defendants.	: : :
VISTA HEALTHPLAN, INC., <u>et al</u> ., Plaintiffs,	: CIVIL ACTION
v.	: : No. 2:06-cv-1833
CEPHALON, INC., <u>et al</u> ., Defendants.	: : :
APOTEX, INC., Plaintiff,	: CIVIL ACTION
v.	: : No. 2:06-cv-2768
CEPHALON, INC., et al., Defendants.	: : :

ORDER

AND NOW, this 5th day of October, 2015, upon consideration of "Plaintiffs' Daubert Motion to Exclude the Opinions of Gregory K. Bell" (Dkt. No. 06-1797, Doc. No. 605; Dkt. No. 06-1833, Doc. No. 311), which has been joined by Apotex (See Dkt. No. 06-2768, Doc. No. 697), and upon consideration of "Direct Purchaser Class Plaintiffs' Daubert Motion to Exclude Certain Opinions and Proposed Trial Testimony of Defendants' Experts Jerry Hausman and

Edward A. Snyder" (Dkt. No. 06-1797, Doc. No. 611), which has been joined by the End Payor

Class Plaintiffs and Apotex (See Dkt. No. 06-1833, Doc. No. 298; Dkt. No. 06-2768, Doc. No.

697), the responses and replies thereto, and for the reasons stated in the accompanying

memorandum opinion, it is hereby **ORDERED** that Plaintiffs' joint motion is **GRANTED** in

part and DENIED in part, such that:

— The motion is granted with respect to Bell, Hausman and Snyder's opinions that

resolution of Cephalon's litigation uncertainty constitutes a procompetitive justification

for the reverse-payment settlements;

— The motion is granted with respect to Edward A. Snyder's opinion that "Generic

manufacturers also realized the importance of potential generic entry, and considered

necessary contingency plans," as this provides impermissible expert testimony on a

party's state of mind;

— The motion is granted by agreement with respect to Edward A. Snyder's opinions

regarding the scope of the patent framework;

— Plaintiffs' challenges to opinions that necessarily assume the validity of the RE '516

patent are held in abeyance and will be addressed by a later opinion; and

— The motion is denied in all other respects.

BY THE COURT:

/s/ Mitchell S. Goldberg

Mitchell S. Goldberg, J.

2