# IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA

KATHRYN ZAENGLE : CIVIL ACTION

v. :

ROSEMOUNT, INC. t/a EMERSON

PROCESS MANAGEMENT

ROSEMOUNT MEASUREMENT

DIVISION NO. 08-2010

## ORDER

AND NOW, this 28th day of January, 2014, upon consideration of defendant's motions in limine, Doc. Nos. 66, 67, 68, 69, 72, 78, 80 and 81, plaintiff's responses thereto, and after oral argument on January 14, 2014, and for the reasons and to the extent stated in the accompanying Memorandum of Decision, it is hereby

### **ORDERED**

- 1. Defendant's Motion in Limine at Document No. 66 is **GRANTED**;
- 2. Defendant's Motion in Limine at Document No. 67 is **DENIED AS**

## MOOT;

- 3. Defendant's Motion in Limine at Document No. 68 is **GRANTED**;
- 4. Defendant's Motion in Limine at Document No. 69 is **DENIED**;
- 5. Defendant's Motion in Limine at Document No. 72 is **GRANTED IN**

## PART and DENIED IN PART;

6. Defendant's Motion in Limine at Document No. 78 is **GRANTED IN** 

## PART and DENIED IN PART;

- 7. Defendant's Motion in Limine at Document No. 80 is **DENIED**; and
- 8. Defendant's Motion in Limine at Document No. 81 is **GRANTED AS**

UNOPPOSED.

BY THE COURT:

/s/ Thomas J. Rueter
THOMAS J. RUETER
United States Magistrate Judge