TARQUINI v. GORBERG et al Doc. 24

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA

DANA L. TARQUINI,	:	
Plaintiff,	:	
v.	:	**CIVIL NO. 09-492**
	:	
DAVID J. GORBERG, ESQUIRE,	:	
and DAVID J. GORBERG, P.C.,	:	
Defendants.	:	

ORDER

AND NOW, this 9th day of September, 2010, upon consideration of Defendants' Motion for Summary Judgment [Doc. No. 16], Plaintiff's Response and Cross-Motion for Partial Summary Judgment [Doc. No. 17], Defendants' Reply [Doc. No. 20] and Plaintiff's Sur-reply [Doc. No. 21], and for the reasons stated in the attached Memorandum Opinion, it is hereby **ORDERED** that:

1) Defendants' Motion is **DENIED**; and

2) Plaintiff's Cross-Motion for Partial Summary Judgment is **GRANTED**. If found to be otherwise meritorious, Plaintiff will not have to prove special damages to succeed in her claim.

It is so **ORDERED**.

BY THE COURT:

/s/ Cynthia M. Rufe

CYNTHIA M. RUFE, J.

Dockets.Justia.com