COPY OF TRANSCRIPT

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA

DAVID RUDOVSKY and LEONARD SOSNOV,

Plaintiffs,

No. 09-CV-727

V.

WEST PUBLISHING CORPORATION, WEST SERVICES INC., AND THOMSON LEGAL AND REGULATORY INC., t/a THOMSON WEST, Defendants.

Video-recorded Deposition Upon Oral Examination of:

Catherine J. Smith

Location:

Thomson West

50 Broad Street East

Rochester, New York 14614

Date:

March 3, 2010

Time:

11:44 a.m.

Reported By:

215.564.3905

PHONE

LYNN A. MULLEN, RPR





James DeCrescenzo Reporting, LLC

INNOVATING LITIGATION 1880 JFK Blvd., 6th Floor • Philadelphia, PA 19103

www.jdreporting.com

215.751.0581

49

VIDEOTAPED DEPOSITION OF CATHERINE SMITH, 3/3/10

1	MR. ZEISLER: I repeat my
2	objection to the question.
3	Q. Did you review Sarah Redzic's
4	well, let me withdraw that question.
5	I want to make sure I have the
6	right terminology here, Ms. Smith. The
7	document that Sarah prepared, you consider
8	that a manuscript? What terminology would
9	you use to reflect that?
10	MR. ZEISLER: Just for clarity,
11	we're talking about the December '08
12	pocket part?
13	MR. CHARLSON: Yep.
14	MR. ZEISLER: Okay.
15	A. "Manuscript" is
16	Q. So did you personally review
17	Sarah Redzic's manuscript for the 2008
18	supplement?
19	A. No, I did not.
20	Q. Did you approve it?
21	MR. ZEISLER: Objection.
22	A. Yes.
23	Q. And how did you how did you
24	approve it?

1	A. By directing her to submit the
2	manuscript for publication.
3	Q. So I'm clear, when you say
4	"approval," that was the approval given to
5	proceed with the project?
6	MR. ZEISLER: Objection.
7	A. Yes.
8	Q. Did anybody review Sarah
9	Redzic's manuscript for the 2008
10	supplement?
11	MR. ZEISLER: Objection. Calls
12	for speculation.
13	A. I don't know.
14	Q. Well, in the normal course,
15	would you expect that anybody else at
16	West would provide would conduct a
17	substantive review of Sarah Redzic's
18	manuscript?
19	A. No.
20	Q. So the Attorney Editor, after
21	being tasked with preparing a supplement
22	on their own, was the final had the
23	final say in what went into it
24	A. Yes.