## IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA

)
)
)
) CIVIL ACTION
) NO. 09-cv-0956
)
)
)
)
)

## **ORDER**

**AND NOW**, this 11<sup>th</sup> day of December 2009, upon consideration of Plaintiff's Motion for Protective Order Re: Rule 30(b)(6) Deposition and Brief In Support of Plaintiff's Motion [docket entry Nos. 44 and 45], Defendant's Brief In Opposition to Plaintiff's Motion for Protective Order Re: Rule 30(b)(6) Deposition [docket entry No. 49], Plaintiff's Reply Brief In Support of Motion for Protective Order Re: Rule 30(b)(6) Deposition [docket entry No. 54], and Defendant's Sur-Reply Brief In Support of Opposition to Motion for Protective Order Re: Rule 30(b)(6) Deposition [docket entry No. 58], and for the reasons set forth in the attached Memorandum Opinion, it is hereby **ORDERED** that Plaintiff's Motion is **DENIED**.

It is so **ORDERED**.

**BY THE COURT:** 

/s/ Cynthia M. Rufe

CYNTHIA M. RUFE, J.