

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA

---

SHERMAN WILKINS,  
Plaintiff,

v.

BOZZUTO & ASSOCIATES, INC.,  
Defendant.

---

:  
:  
:  
:  
:  
:  
:  
:  
:  
:

CIVIL NO. 09-2581

**ORDER**

AND NOW, this 10th day of December, 2009, upon consideration of Defendant’s July 2, 2009 Motion to Dismiss Amended Complaint [Doc. No. 5], Plaintiff’s Memorandum of Law in Opposition to Defendant’s Motion to Dismiss [Doc. No. 6], and Defendant’s Reply to Opposition to Motion to Dismiss [Doc. No. 10], it is hereby **ORDERED** that:

1) Defendant’s July 2, 2009 Motion to Dismiss [Doc. No. 5] is **GRANTED** for the reasons stated in the attached Memorandum Opinion. The above-captioned matter is **DISMISSED** without prejudice.

2) Defendant’s June 15, 2009 Motion to Dismiss [Doc. No. 3] is **DISMISSED** as **MOOT**.

It is so **ORDERED**.

**BY THE COURT:**

/s/ Cynthia M. Rufe

---

**CYNTHIA M. RUFÉ, J.**