

EXHIBIT A

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR
THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA**

ARTHUR ALAN WOLK, ESQUIRE

Plaintiff,

**CIVIL ACTION NO.
2:09-cv-04001-MAM**

v.

OVERLAWYERED.COM, et al.

Defendants.

DECLARATION OF CHRISTINE DeGRAFF

I, Christine DeGraff, hereby declare the following to be true and correct to the best of my knowledge, information and belief:

1. I am a partner in the company *Websketching, LLC.*, which specializes in website design, Internet marketing and content management. One of my areas of expertise includes creating websites that are "search engine optimized."
2. I have eleven years experience as a web programmer and Internet marketer. I have worked as a web programmer and developer for Comcast Spectacor, RealTime Media, and Sovereign Bank. A copy of my most recent curriculum vitae is attached as Exhibit "A."

3. Websketching was hired by Mr. Arthur Alan Wolk on or about October 2010 to assist in online marketing, to monitor and track negative postings appearing on websites such as www.overlaywered.com and www.reason.com, and to determine the means necessary to cleanse the Internet of these negative postings.

4. As part of the project, I conducted an extensive forensic analysis of the website www.overlawyered.com and the blogs concerning Mr. Wolk appearing on that site. One of the blogs I analyzed was the blog titled *Arthur Alan Wolk v. Teledyne Industries, Inc.*, posted by Ted Frank, dated "April 8, 2007." ("Frank Blog").

5. From my forensic review, I determined that the web page currently home to the Frank Blog was published on the Internet on May 13, 2008 at the URL address:

www.overlawyered.com/2007/04/arthur-alan-wolk-v-teledyne-industries-inc/

This web page is a completely different web page and is located at a completely different website address than the one which was home to the original April 7, 2007 Frank Blog. I've attached the current Frank Blog as Ex. "B."

6. The URL for that original April 7, 2007 Frank Blog was:

www.overlawyered.com/2007/04/aarthur_alan_wolk_v_teledyne_in.html

This web page no longer exists. The original Frank Blog is attached as Ex. "C."

7. The new May 13, 2008 Frank Blog web page is a substantial revision of the original web page which involved altering the makeup of the page and URL address of the blog to greatly enhance the search engine ranking of Overlawyered's website. Of particular importance are the keywords used in the current Frank Blog's URL and the fact that each word is separated by a hyphen "-" which ensures a more search engine optimized web page.

8. The original Frank Blog used underscores to separate its keywords. When URLs use a format like “word1_word2”, a search engine like *Google* will only return that page if the user searches for “word1_word2” in that exact order. However, using hyphens in a URL in a format like “word1-word2” returns searches for either of the words in any order.

9. This process of creating a new web page with enhanced searching features is part of the process called “Search Engine Optimization” or “SEO,” whereby “tags” and “links” are built into a particular blog or web page, allowing the website creator to exponentially increase the number of times the original post appears on the Internet which enhances the likelihood of a search engine (such as *Google*) ranking the web page higher.

10. The current Frank Blog has gone through a detailed and extensive SEO process. It also contains multiple internal “tags” and internal and external “links” built into the blog’s web page to enhance its presence on the Internet and search engine ranking which were not present in the original web page.

11. These “tags” are keywords located on the blog which, if clicked by a reader, would direct the reader to additional blogs within the Overlawyered website. In this regard, one of the blogs regarding Mr. Wolk was tagged so it would appear under other blogs as “Related Posts”. This tag caused a link containing Mr. Wolk’s name linking to the blog to appear on over 60 additional pages of the overlawyered website and all of the pages have been indexed by *Google*. The blog is also “tagged” under a heading “libel slander and defamation.” See Exhibit “B,” current version of Frank Blog.

12. The current Frank Blog contains external links to source material outside of Overlawyered’s website, which, if clicked by a reader, would redirect the reader to the outside source material.

13. Building such an elaborate web of internal and external “tags” and “links” such as those existing on the current Frank Blog is the chief way a website creator ensures content on the site is ranked higher on popular search engines such as *Google*, *Bing* and others.

14. While the current version of the Frank Blog has clearly gone through a detailed SEO process, my analysis revealed that the original Frank Blog as published on April 8, 2007 was *not* search engine optimized.

15. I obtained a copy of the original Frank Blog by using a database known in my field on a website called the *Way Back Machine*, www.waybackmachine.org. The *Way Back Machine* is essentially a digital time capsule of the Internet created by a non-profit organization that sponsors the website www.waybackmachine.org. The *Way Back Machine*'s site allows users to access archived versions of web pages as they existed in the past.

16. In my opinion, stated to a reasonable degree of professional certainty, it is indisputable that the original April 7, 2007 Frank Blog web page was deleted from cyberspace and was replaced with an entirely new web page on two separate occasions ending with the current Frank Blog as posted on May 13, 2008. The current Frank Blog web page is materially different from the original Frank Blog as it has been search engine optimized and contains important distinguishing features discussed above which were not included in the original web page.

17. Furthermore, the current Frank Blog shows no indication of when it was published on the Internet. The only identifying feature is the date “April 7, 2007” which is not the date the web page was created or published.

18. Indeed, the search engine optimization of overlawyered.com was a major event in its development. In reviewing the historical archived blog postings by Mr. Walter Olson, the creator of the *Overlawyered* site, I uncovered statements by him posted during the time the new website

was republished in May 2008, wherein he announced to Overlawyered's readers that the new, redesigned site was received favorable by *Google New's* indexing system and that the archived files were being treated as newly published. (See attached Ex. "D").

19. There seems to be little questioning that Overlawyered's extensive SEO techniques concerning Mr. Wolk have been effective. To this day, a search on *Google* for "Arthur Wolk" produces a search with Overlawyered's Frank Blog ranked # 1 at the very top of the search engine list. A copy of such a *Google* search is attached as Exhibit "E."

20. In fact, the measures Overlawyered has taken as discussed above have caused the proliferation in the Internet with its smearing of Mr. Wolk's name to an astounding level.

21. Due to Overlawyered's SEO techniques, searches using Mr. Wolk's name or his name and almost any other phrase or keyword will invariably bring up Overlawyered in the search results.

22. A search in *Google* for "Arthur Wolk", "Arthur Alan Wolk", or Mr. Wolk's name and other keywords that a potential client might search for such as "Aviation Attorney", "Attorney", "experience", "credentials", "background" etc. will produce the blog titled *Arthur Alan Wolk v. Teledyne Industries, Inc.*, posted by Ted Frank, dated "April 8, 2007," often in the top 10 results and many times even above Mr. Wolk's firm or personal websites:

Arthur Alan Wolk v. Teledyne Industries, Inc.

Arthur Alan Wolk v. Teledyne Industries, Inc. by Ted Frank on April 8, 2007. Judge writes scathing opinion about attorney; opponent attorney mails opinion ...
overlawyered.com/.../arthur-alan-wolk-v-teledyne-industries-inc/ -

Arthur Alan Wolk v. Teledyne Industries, Inc.

Arthur Alan Wolk v. Teledyne Industries, Inc. by Ted Frank on April 8, 2007. Judge writes scathing opinion about **attorney**; opponent **attorney** mails opinion ... on Mr. Wolk for his lawsuits against commenters at an **aviation** website that ...
overlawyered.com/.../arthur-alan-wolk-v-teledyne-industries-inc/ -

Relevant samples of such *Google* searches are attached as Exhibit “F.”

23. Another blog titled *Wolk v. Olson: Overlawyered in the news*, posted by Walter Olson, dated August 9, 2010 appears in *Google* searches for “Arthur Alan Wolk Philadelphia Lawyer,” “Arthur Alan Wolk Philadelphia Aviation Lawyer”:

Wolk v. Olson: Overlawyered in the news

Aug 9, 2010 ... U.S. District Judge Mary McLaughlin last week dismissed a defamation lawsuit filed by **Philadelphia** aviation lawyer **Arthur Alan Wolk** against ... overlawyered.com/2010/.../wolk-v-olson-overlawyered-in-the-news/ -

Relevant samples of such *Google* searches are attached as Exhibit “G.”

24. Likewise, upon conducting a search for “Settlements by Arthur Wolk,” the following is returned by *Google*:

Arthur Alan Wolk v. Teledyne Industries, Inc.

Apr 8, 2007 ... **Arthur Alan Wolk** v. Teledyne Industries, Inc. ... Did **Wolk's** client suffer from a reduced **settlement** so that his attorney could avoid having ... overlawyered.com/.../arthur-alan-wolk-v-teledyne-industries-inc/

This *Google* search is attached as Exhibit “H.”

24. From reviewing Overlawyered’s site and the elaborate network of links, tags and archives built on the site, it is obvious that the individuals controlling Overlawyered’s website have performed extensive SEO to enhance the blogs search engine ranking.

25. SEO is not self-executing. In other words, the links, tags and archiving do not automatically appear. Someone in control of the site purposely built the links, tags and archives, the main purpose of which is to enhance the search engine ranking.

26. Clearly, Overlawyered’s extensive SEO techniques have worked concerning Mr Wolk. The blog that appears most frequently is over 3 ½ years old; yet it continues to rank over his numerous websites, press releases, social media sites, and Internet marketing efforts by Websketching and previous companies that Mr. Wolk has hired in an attempt to repair the

damage his reputation has suffered due to Overlawyered.com. His name has become so intertwined with Overlawyered's website due to the way in which Overlawyered has constructed its site and publishes its blogs that the damage may not be repairable no matter how much effort is expended.

27. As an example, on October 14, 2010, Websketching began researching and writing a biography about Mr. Wolk for *Wikipedia*. It was published on October 18, 2010 after considerable reviews by Wikipedia editors. (http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Arthur_Alان_Wolk)

28. On November 3, 2010, an anonymous user "Boo the puppy" edited the *Wikipedia* article, adding an entire section about the *Arthur Alan Wolk v. Teledyne Industries, Inc.* case with links to the blog on Overlawyered.com, the archived blog and other websites. "Boo the puppy" also made multiple other changes to the article removing almost any favorable reference regarding Mr. Wolk. This resulted in a back and forth "edit war" between "Boo the puppy" and Websketching before other editors stepped in to resolve the conflict on a discussion page.

29. In the meantime, "Boo the puppy" published another page on *Wikipedia* "*Arthur Alan Wolk v. Walter Olson*" (http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Arthur_Alان_Wolk_v._Walter_Olson). At this time, I sent an email stating that I represent Mr. Wolk and requesting that "Boo the puppy's" article be deleted and that Boo the puppy be banned as a user on *Wikipedia* due to his obvious conflict of interest as his username was apparently a mock of Mr. Wolk's Golden Retriever puppy, "Boo" and Boo the puppy's user description was listed as "I am a lovable golden retriever with no assets. Woof! I live in California and am familiar with California anti-SLAPP law."

30. Ultimately, both Websketching's and Boo the puppy's *Wikipedia* articles were

“nominated” for deletion and many editors began to give their opinions on whether or not to keep or delete the articles from *Wikipedia*. Long discussions followed.

31. One of the users on *Wikipedia* with a username “THF” posted a comment to the deletion discussions as follows: “I have no opinion on whether this is autobiography or public relations, but I relay the following facts: Christine deGraff says she represents Arthur Wolk and makes a legal threat regarding "posting information not relevant to Mr. Wolk's area of expertise." Ms. deGraff works for "Websketching." User:Lawrencewarwick also works for Websketching, but perhaps that's a coincidence. (My COI disclosure: Wolk has sued me. Twice. I hereby request that no one write about Arthur Wolk without Arthur Wolk's permission. If you do write about Arthur Wolk without his permission, you do so against my express wishes, and Arthur Wolk should not sue me a third time for "inciting" you to write about him.)” THF (talk) 18:38, 4 November 2010 (UTC). A copy of “THF’s” comment on *Wikipedia* is attached as Exhibit “I.”

32. From the content of THF’s comment we believe THF is actually Theodore (Ted) Frank, the author of the Frank Blog.

33. Although declaring that he had no opinion regarding the debate as to whether the *Wikipedia* articles should be deleted, THF (i.e., Mr. Frank) continued to post on both discussion boards on *Wikipedia*, stating he had a “serious concern that Wolk will sue Wikipedia and Wikipedia editors if his Wikipedia presence is not to his liking.” This caused a flurry of concern and more discussion towards deleting the biography. A copy of this subsequent posting by THF is attached as Exhibit “J.”

34. Mr. Frank continued to comment on the discussion boards of *Wikipedia*. Some of his further comments include:

"As a defendant in the case people are talking about, and as a defendant in another case where Arthur Wolk has accused me of "inciting" people to write about the case, I request that you please do not write about this case without Arthur Wolk's permission. I make this request so that Arthur Wolk knows that if you write about this case, you do so against my wishes, and that I cannot be held legally responsible for anything you write."

"I am a defendant in this case. Also, I have been sued (along with twelve other parties) a second time under an accusation that I have "incited" others to defame Wolk whenever someone writes about this lawsuit."

"You should be aware of this recent lawsuit, where Wolk has requested IP addresses. As a defendant in a case where Arthur Wolk has accused me of "inciting" people to write about him"

A copy of these further comments by THF (Ted Frank) are attached as Exhibit "K."

35. The above discussions on *Wikipedia*, particularly Ted Frank's interference with Mr. Wolk's *Wikipedia* article is yet one more obstacle in our path towards our attempts to repair the damage to Mr. Wolk's reputation.

Dated:

11/30/10



CHRISTINE DeGRAFF

Exhibit A

CHRISTINE DEGRAFF

440 Whig Lane Rd., Pilesgrove, NJ, 08098 | 856.237.9860 | cdegraff@websketching.com

OCCUPATION/EMPLOYMENT HISTORY

Websketching, LLC., Woodstown, NJ

Partner/Founder

January 2007 – Present

Developed a content management system (CMS) to manage a website's content that is flexible enough to allow Websketching to offer customized website solutions to our clients rather than website templates. Developed, programmed and search engine optimized over 150 custom websites in less than four years. Developed over 15 online directories in order to sell online advertising to generate recurring revenue for Websketching. Developed and donated 7 websites to the community over the past several years; held several fundraising events in 2010, raising and donating over \$10,000 for charitable causes.

Comcast Spectacor, Philadelphia, PA

Web Programmer

June 2004 – April 2007

Lead web programmer for NHL and AHL Hockey Teams Philadelphia Flyers and Philadelphia Phantoms. Programmed custom applications for websites. Search engine optimized websites. Developed mobile websites for teams.

RealTime Media, Wynnewood, PA

Web Developer/Programmer

March 2001 – May 2004

Developed and programmed web-based sweepstakes and Instant win games for clients including: Maybelline, MasterCard, Old Navy, Kodak & The Philadelphia Eagles. Programmed viral marketing campaigns including text messaging via a website to allow friends to tell their friends to further promote clients' sweepstakes to gain extra entries.

Sovereign Bank, Toms River, NJ

Web Developer

September 2000 – March 2001

Implemented a new system of designing and updating website to improve quality and efficiency. Trained existing seven members of web team to use new software and on how to manage updates to a website in a team environment. Search engine optimized website and trained staff on basic techniques of search engine optimization.

Emaxed, Somers Point, NJ

Jr. Web Developer

October 1999 – August 2000

Updated client websites.

RECOGNITIONS & AWARDS FOR WEBSKETCHING

Finalist 2009 NJBIZ Business of the Year Award in the category "Emerging Business of the Year"

Rackspace's "2007 FANATI Award" for Outstanding Customer Service

"Best Website Designer/Webmaster in Salem County" 2008, 2009, 2010

EDUCATION

Richard Stockton College of New Jersey

94 credits completed

1999

Phi Theta Kappa National Honor Society; Senator, Student Government Association;

Received Numerous Scholarships including Full-Tuition Scholarship from Hite's Foundation

AREAS OF EXPERTISE

Database/Web Technologies: SQL Server, Access, ASP, VBScript, Javascript, CSS, HTML

Graphics/Web Development Programs: Adobe Illustrator, Photoshop, Dreamweaver

Related Works: Search Engine Optimization, Technical Writing, Training

Marketing & Advertising: Copywriting & Proofreading, Press Releases

Exhibit B

Arthur Alan Wolk v. Teledyne Industries, Inc.

by TED FRANK ON APRIL 8, 2007

Judge writes scathing opinion about attorney; opponent attorney mails opinion to client; losing attorney sues other attorney for defamation. No dice, but even this ludicrous suit does not result in sanctions.

[Beck/Herrmann]


Beck and Herrmann miss, however, an especially interesting subplot. Wolk settled the underlying case, *Taylor v. Teledyne*, No. CIV.A.1:00-CV-1741-J (N.D. Ga.), on the condition that the order criticizing him be vacated. Did Wolk's client suffer from a reduced settlement so that his attorney could avoid having the order used against him in other litigation? (The discovery violation complained about was apparently a repeat occurrence.) The district court permitted a settlement that vacated the order, but its only reported inquiry into whether Wolk did not suffer from a conflict of interest and was adequately protecting his client's rights was Wolk's representation to the court that the client was alright with the size of the settlement. That begs the question whether the client was fully aware of the conflict of interest; if, as seems to be the case, the N.D. Ga. failed to do so, one really wishes courts would do more to protect fiduciaries of plaintiffs' attorneys before signing off on settlements. 338 F.Supp.2d 1323, 1327 (N.D. Ga. 2004), *aff'd* in unpublished summary per curiam opinion (11th Cir., Jun. 17, 2005).

We've earlier reported on Mr. Wolk for his lawsuits against commenters at an aviation website that criticized him: [Sep. 16-17, 2002](#). As the *Taylor* opinion notes, Wolk also threatened to sue the federal judge in that case. He also filed what the Eleventh Circuit called a *frivolous mandamus* petition.

Related posts

- [Youtube lawsuit of the week: A&P vs. rappers](#) (3)
- [You mean it was trillions?](#) (1)
- [Wrongs without remedies dept.](#) (1)
- [Worst places to get sued, cont'd](#) (6)
- [Worst new idea of the day](#) (8)

Tagged as: libel slander and defamation



RECENT COMMENTS

Ian on Loco parents: schools to send parents "your kid's too fat" notes

Jack Wilson on New York regulates household employment

Small government guy on Loco parents: schools to send parents "your kid's too fat" notes

mojo on Loco parents: schools to send parents "your kid's too fat" notes

Jeff on Loco parents: schools to send parents "your kid's too fat" notes

Mannie on New York regulates household employment

Melinda on Loco parents: schools to send parents "your kid's too fat" notes

Mannie on "Call Phones and Brain Cancer: What Was The New York Times Thinkin'?"

hmsch on Loco parents: schools to send parents "your kid's too fat" notes

William Nueslein on "Police! Step Away From the Chess Table"

SEARCH

To search, type and hit enter

MEDIA BLOGGERS ASSOCIATION



FAVORITES

- ★ Best of
- AAJ/ATLA
- ADA and disability rights
- ADA filing mills
- Chasing clients
- Class actions
- Competition through litigation
- CPSLA
- CPSLA and books
- CPSLA and resale
- Deep Pocket Files
- Defensive medicine
- Dickie Scruggs
- Feeling frenzy
- Finger in the chili
- Ford Pinto
- Free speech
- Grand Theft Auto: Class Action
- John Edwards
- Junk science
- Kentucky fen-phen fraud
- Lawyer's nastygrams

Exhibit C

Updating Nov. 3 entry: Ninth Circuit vacates and will en banc review ludicrous Reinhardt decision in *Smith v. Baldwin* [Legal Pad]

Posted by Ted Frank at 12:29 PM | [EzraLink](#) | [Comments \(0\)](#)

ARTHUR ALAN WOLK V. TELEDYNE INDUSTRIES, INC.

Judge writes scathing opinion about attorney; opponent attorney mails opinion to client; losing attorney sues other attorney for defamation. No dice, but even this ludicrous suit does not result in sanctions. [Beck/Herrmann]

Beck and Herrmann miss, however, an especially interesting subplot. Wolk settled the underlying case, *Taylor v. Teledyne*, No. CIV. A.1:00-CV-1741-J (N.D. Ga.), on the condition that the order criticizing him be vacated. Did Wolk's client suffer from a reduced settlement so that his attorney could avoid having the order used against him in other litigation? (The discovery violation complained about was apparently a repeat occurrence.) The district court permitted a settlement that vacated the order, but its only reported inquiry into whether Wolk did not suffer from a conflict of interest and was adequately protecting his client's rights was Wolk's representation to the court that the client was alright with the size of the settlement. That begs the question whether the client was fully aware of the conflict of interest; if, as seems to be the case, the N.D. Ga. failed to do so, one really wishes courts would do more to protect fiduciaries of plaintiffs attorneys before signing off on settlements. 338 F. Supp.2d 1323, 1327 (N.D. Ga. 2004), *aff'd* in unpublished summary per curiam opinion (11th Cir., Jun. 17, 2005).

We've earlier reported on Mr. Wolk for his lawsuits against commentators at an aviation website that criticized him: Sep. 16-17, 2002. As the *Taylor* opinion notes, Wolk also threatened to sue the federal judge in that case. He also filed what the Eleventh Circuit called a *frivolous mandamus petition*.

Posted by Ted Frank at 12:02 PM | [EzraLink](#)

KCET ON PROP 65 ABUSE

At the *Life and Times* department of the Southern California public broadcasting station, reporter Val Zavala examines a problem often discussed in this space (May 26, Apr. 5, Apr. 29, and Dec. 26, 2006, among many others):

This story is about a long-standing soda-pop store in Highland Park, Calif., that was hit with a legal notice telling them that they are selling hazardous products. The owner says that they don't make the product, but that they have informed the public according to the Proposition 65 law. But the law allows them to be sued anyway. Their only choice? Settle or go to court. As Val Zavala reports, some attorneys are making millions abusing Proposition 65.

The ten-minute video has expired, but the station's blog entry about the show has links and discussion (Feb. 28).

Posted by Walker Olson at 10:27 AM | [EzraLink](#) | [Comments \(0\)](#)

WALKS LIKE A CONTINGENCY, QUACKS LIKE A CONTINGENCY

An article in the *West Virginia Record* discusses a survey of physicians complaining about questionable expert witnesses in medical malpractice cases. For some reason, physicians seem to think that experts will say whatever they're paid to say. But the president of the West Virginia Trial Lawyers Association denounces the survey, including the doctors' complaints about experts being paid on contingency:

"And you can't have contingency experts. I abhor that, anyway. There are State Bar rules are [sic] against that."

In fact, pretty much everyone agrees that it's unethical to pay expert witnesses on a contingency fee basis. Most states seem to have explicit ethical rules against it; New Jersey certainly does.

But how effective do you think those rules are? They didn't stop Nagel Rice and Mazze, a New Jersey plaintiffs law firm, from trying to weasel out of paying its expert witness recently for his work on a medical case, leading the expert to sue the firm for his fees. Why did Nagel try to get out of paying? Because, as Nagel admitted in his testimony in that case, they had lost the medical lawsuit.

And I said, "And in addition to that, we lost the case. It's cost my firm over \$100,000 in out-of-pocket." I said, "So, I want you to consider two things: one, it was your first time on the stand; two, I think your 17 hours is really heavy-handed; and,

Taylor Teachout Volokh

- Above the Law
- Bainbridge
- Bashman
- Crime and Federalism
- Employers' Lawyer
- George's Empire
- Hutchinson
- Illinois Justice
- Kirkendall
- Nordberg
- Parloff
- Point of Law
- Ribstein
- RiskProf
- Schaeffer
- 10b-5 Daily
- TortsProf
- WSJ law blog
- Wilson
- KevinMD
- MedPundit
- Who's linked us? / lately?

Powered by Movable Type 3.2

Exhibit D

Archives; welcome Google News readers; tags
by Walter Olson on May 19, 2008

I've now succeeded in transferring the site's pre-2003 archives to the new WordPress platform, which means they'll be indexed along with more recent posts; no more having to do separate searches in each of two indexes. Moreover, I've gotten the old URLs of those archives to redirect seamlessly to the new. Coming up soon: getting the old URLs of the MT-based 2003-2008 archives to redirect to the new, as much as possible.

One unexpected result of the archive changeover: Google News interpreted the arrival of the archived files on WordPress as if they'd been newly published, which has (temporarily) much expanded our presence on that site. Fortunately, the archives are prominently marked as such, which should keep readers from mistaking them for recent reportage.

Also, Ted and I have been busy "tagging" a selection of recent and older posts. Tags display on the post itself, and those most used appear in a "tag cloud" toward the bottom of the rightmost column. Bear in mind that we've only made a small start toward tagging past posts, so if you follow the "California" tag, for instance, it will lead you to only seven California-related posts as of the moment.

Finally, the little gavel favicon in the navigation bar is back.

Tagged as: about the site, Google, WordPress

Exhibit E

Web Images Videos Maps News Shopping Mail more ▾

dheim@bochettoandlentz.com | Web History | Settings ▾ | Sign out



Arthur Wolk

Search

About 252,000 results (0.23 seconds)

Advanced search

Everything

Images

News

More

New York, NY

Change location

Any time

Past 2 months

All results

Sites with images

More search tools

Arthur Alan Wolk v. Teledyne Industries, Inc. ☆ - 3 visits - Oct 21
Arthur Alan Wolk v. Teledyne Industries, Inc. by Ted Frank on April 8, 2007. Judge writes scathing opinion about attorney; opponent attorney mails opinion ... overlawyered.com/.../arthur-alan-wolk-v-teledyne-industries-inc/ - Cached - Similar

Images for Arthur Wolk - Report images



The Wolk Law Firm - Aviation Litigation ☆ ☆
The Wolk Law Firm – Determining responsibility behind air crashes and ... At The Wolk Law Firm, Aviation Safety is Our Profession – Arthur Alan Wolk ... www.airlaw.com/ - Cached - Similar

Airlaw / News - Arthur Wolk Honored at Temple Law Founder's Day ... ☆ ☆
Temple University's Beasley School of Law recently honored Philadelphia ... www.airlaw.com/news_temple_law_founders_day.htm - Cached
Show more results from airlaw.com

Arthur Wolk Air Safety Expert ☆ ☆
Arthur Alan Wolk is an attorney and the founding partner of The Wolk Law Firm in Philadelphia, PA. An expert in aviation law and air crash litigation for ... www.squidoo.com/arthur-alan-wolk - Cached

bigshot | Arthur Wolk - Featured in news reports on networks such ... ☆ ☆
bigshot profile for Arthur Wolk - Philadelphia, Pennsylvania - Featured in news reports on networks such as CNN and in publications like USA Today, ... bigshot.org/arthur_wolk - Cached

Arthur Wolk- A resident of Philadelphia, Pennsylvania, attorney ... ☆ ☆
Arthur Wolk - A resident of Philadelphia, Pennsylvania, attorney and aviation expert Arthur Wolk brings a long and storied tradition to his wo ... www.peoplepond.com/arthurwolk - Cached

Arthur Alan Wolk - Wikipedia, the free encyclopedia ☆ ☆
Arthur Alan Wolk (born October 25, 1943) is an attorney, author and the founding partner of The Wolk Law Firm in Philadelphia, PA, which specializes in ... en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Arthur_Alain_Wolk - Cached

Arthur Wolk, AIRLAW ☆ ☆
Oct 15, 2010 ... Founding partner Arthur Alan Wolk, a top attorney in the US for aviation law and ... Arthur Wolk is an airline transport-rated pilot and jet ... www.websketching.com/News/archive/36.asp - Cached

Reflections of My Puppy By Arthur Wolk - A chronicle of the first ... ☆ ☆
Recollections of My Puppy is a poignant, funny, and sometimes tear evoking chronicle of the first year of the life of Boo, a Golden Retriever, with her Dad, ... boos-books.com/ - Cached - Similar

Arthur Wolk - Founding Partner, The Wolk Law Firm - Philadelphia ... ☆ ☆
Arthur Wolk - View my professional profile and contact me on Naymz! www.naymz.com/arthur_wolk_2800445 - Cached

Searches related to Arthur Wolk

- arthur wolk alan
- arthur wolk bio
- peoplepond arthur wolk
- arthur wolk founding partner

1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 Next

Arthur Wolk

Search

View customizations Search within results Search Help Give us feedback

Google Home Advertising Programs Business Solutions Privacy About Google

Exhibit F



Arthur Alan Wolk

About 23,700 results (0.31 seconds)

Search

1-11-2010 11:28

ASVW705:1837D

Everything

Images

Videos

More

Philadelphia, PA

Change location

Show search tools

Arthur Alan Wolk - Aviation Accident Attorney

Arthur Alan Wolk has 35 years aviation litigation experience in lawsuits throughout the US.

MLRC: Legal Actions Against Bloggers: Wolk v. Olson

Aug 6, 2010 ... Aviation lawyer and seasoned pilot Arthur Alan Wolk ... may have learned something new this week about the blogosphere when a federal judge ...

Arthur Alan Wolk v. Teledyne Industries, Inc.

Arthur Alan Wolk v. Teledyne Industries, Inc. by Ted Frank on April 8, 2007. Judge writes scathing opinion about attorney; opponent attorney mails opinion ...

Arthur Wolk, Air Law & Aviation Safety Expert

Arthur Alan Wolk is an attorney, author and the founding partner of The Wolk Law Firm in Philadelphia, PA, which specializes in aviation law and air crash ...

Arthur Alan Wolk - Technically Philly

Tag Archives: Arthur Alan Wolk ... The Philadelphia Business Journal also has a story on a legal battle between lawyer Arthur Alan Wolk and legal blog ...

PointofLaw.com | PointOfLaw Forum: Arthur Alan Wolk v. Olson ...

Aug 6, 2010 ... Watch what you say about lawyers dept.: A Philadelphia attorney didnt like what a blogger wrote about the attorney's litigation record in a ...

Law.com - Discover Rule for Libel Doesn't Apply to Blogs, Says ...

Aug 6, 2010 ... Aviation lawyer and seasoned pilot Arthur Alan Wolk knows quite a bit about the stratosphere and the troposphere, but he may have learned ...

Arthur Alan Wolk - Wikipedia, the free encyclopedia

Arthur Alan Wolk (born October 25, 1943) is an attorney, author and the founding partner of The Wolk Law Firm in Philadelphia, PA, which specializes in ...

Arthur Alan Wolk Commentaries

Arthur Alan Wolk commentaries on recent airplane and helicopter crashes.

Arthur Alan Wolk v. Olson, No. 09-cv-4001 (E.D. Pa. 2010)

Aug 3, 2010 ... Arthur Alan Wolk, a well-known aviation attorney, has ... a two-year limitations

seasoned courtroom skills, aviation industry savvy, ...
www.airlaw.com/experience.htm - Cached - Similar

Arthur Alan Wolk v. Olson, No. 09-cv-4001 (E.D. Pa. 2010)
Aug 3, 2010 ... Arthur Alan Wolk, a well-known aviation attorney, has ... The plaintiff is perhaps the most prominent aviation attorney in the country. ...
www.scribd.com/Arthur-Alan-Wolk-v-Olson-No-09-cv-4001-E-D-Pa-2010 - Cached

Arthur Alan Wolk and The Wolk Law Firm lauded again for ...
Oct 15, 2010 ... As a testament to that reputation, Arthur Alan Wolk has been ... At The Wolk Law Firm, aviation safety always has and always will be their ...
www.pr-inside.com/arthur-alan-wolk-and-the-wolk-12181297.htm - Cached

Arthur Alan Wolk - Lawyer in Philadelphia, Pennsylvania (PA ...)
Arthur Alan Wolk is a lawyer in Philadelphia, Pennsylvania focusing on various areas of law ...
Airplane Crash Litigation; Products Liability; Aviation ...
www.lawyers.com/Pennsylvania/Arthur-Alan-Wolk-1550336-a.html - Cached

The Wolk Law Firm - Law Firm in Philadelphia, Pennsylvania (PA ...)
The Wolk Law Firm was established in 1973 as Arthur Alan Wolk Associates. ...
www.lawyers.com/The-Wolk-Law-Firm-1550333-f.html - Cached - Similar
+ Show more results from lawyers.com

Arthur Wolk, AIRLAW
Oct 15, 2010 ... Founding partner Arthur Alan Wolk, a top attorney in the US for aviation law and an expert in the field with more than 40 years experience, ...
www.websketching.com/news/archive/36.asp - Cached

Arthur Wolk on Aviation Law and Air Safety: Arthur Alan Wolk ...
Nov 18, 2010 ... Arthur Alan Wolk is an expert in aviation law and air safety, frequently appearing on national TV as an expert in air crash causes. ...
arthurwolk.blogspot.com/Arthur-Alan-Wolk-commentary-tsa-has-its.html - Cached

Court Said Arthur Alan Wolk and The Wolk Law Firm Acted "Honestly ..."
Mar 7, 2007 ... Court Said Arthur Alan Wolk and The Wolk Law Firm Acted "Honestly ... aviation attorney Arthur Alan Wolk made public today the fact that he ...
en.businesswire.com/news/eon/20070307106220/en - Cached

Arthur Alan Wolk v. Teledyne Industries, Inc.
Arthur Alan Wolk v. Teledyne Industries, Inc. by Ted Frank on April 8, 2007. Judge writes scathing opinion about attorney; opponent attorney mails opinion ... on Mr. Wolk for his lawsuits against overlawyered.com/Arthur-Alan-Wolk-v-Teledyne-Industries-Inc - Cached - Similar



Search Help Give us feedback

Google Home Advertising Programs Business Solutions Privacy About Google

Exhibit G



Arthur Alan Wolk Philadelphia Lawyer

Page 4 of about 48,700 results (0.32 seconds)

Search

1/24/2010 1:02 PM

Web History | Search settings | Sign in

Everything

- Images
- Videos
- More

Philadelphia, PA
Change location

Show search tools

Wolk v. Olson: Overlawyered in the news

Aug 9, 2010 ... U.S. District Judge Harry McAulphin last week dismissed a defamation lawsuit filed by Philadelphia aviation lawyer Arthur Alan Wolk against ...
overlawyered.com/2010/.../wolk-v-olson-overlawyered-in-the-news/ - Cached

PORT ANATURAL TEACHER

File Format: PDF/Adobe Acrobat - Single View
of Philadelphia's law department. Relyly joined the law department in 1996. APRIL 2010 The Wolk Law Firm, led by trial counsel Arthur Alan Wolk ...
www.law.temple.edu/pdfiles/sqesq_summer2010.pdf

pennsylvania philadelphia all attorneys, lawyers and law firms ...

Handdale's Attorney Directory helps you find pennsylvania philadelphia all ... Firm Profile: The Wolk Law Firm was established in 1973 as Arthur Alan Wolk ...
www.handdale.com/.../philadelphia-law-firms.htm? Law ... Cached

Arthur Wolk on Aviation Law and Air Safety: Hawker 800 Crash In ...

Aug 1, 2008 ... Arthur Alan Wolk is an expert in aviation law and air safety, frequently appearing ... Arthur Wolk Comments on the Close Call at Philly A. ...
arthurwolk.blogspot.com/.../hawker-800-crash-in-ninnesasasome.html - Cached

Court Said Arthur Alan Wolk and The Wolk Law Firm Acted 'Honestly ...' - [Translate this page]

7 maart 2007 ... In response to a question about a previous and now outdated court case, aviation attorney Arthur Alan Wolk made public today the fact that ...
www.hortorum.eu/a150595-courts-said-arthur-alan-wolk-and-cm - Cached

Arthur Alan Wolk v. Olson, No. 09-cv-4001 (E.D. Pa. 2010)

Aug 3, 2010 ... Arthur Alan Wolk, a well-known aviation attorney, has ... a two-year limitations period. Evans v. Philadelphia Newspaper. ...
www.scribd.com/.../Arthur-Alan-Wolk-v-Olson-No-09-cv-4001-E-D-Pa-2010 - Cached

Arthur Wolk (ArthurAlanWolk) on Twitter

Bio Arthur Alan Wolk is the founding partner of The Wolk Law Firm in Philadelphia. For over 35 years, the firm has concentrated in the area of aviation law. ...
twitter.com/ArthurAlanWolk - Cached

New Airworthiness Directive on Cessna Caravan Vindicates The Wolk ...

Jul 19, 2007 ... In a stunning reversal the Federal Aviation Administration has ... Arthur Wolk, Aviation Attorney, The Wolk Law Firm, Philadelphia, PA ...
www.airpacific.com/news/ReleaseWire/default.cfm?Action...ID... - Cached

Northeast Jet Selling its Assets After Losing License - Page 2 ...

Oct 13, 1988 ... Northeast Jet will be represented by Arthur Alan Wolk, a Philadelphia lawyer who specializes in aviation law and is known nationally for his ...
articles.mcall.com/1988-10-13/news/255853-1...jet-pilots...?2 - Cached

Exhibit H



Settlements by Arthur Wolk

Everything

Images
Videos

More

Philadelphia, PA
Change location

All results
Timeline

More search tools

▶ We Buy Your Settlement 1 (888) 360 2306
JG Wentworth Buys Settlements For Cash. Free Settlement Quote Now.
JGWentworth.com/Settlement

MLRC: Legal Actions Against Bloggers: Wolk v. Olson
Aug 5, 2010 ... Aviation lawyer and seasoned pilot Arthur Alan Wolk ... may have learned something new this week about the blogosphere when a federal judge ...
mitchlogsuits.blogspot.com:2010/08/wolk-v-olson.html - Cached

The Wolk Law Firm - Aircraft Disaster Verdicts and Settlements
All other settlements were very favorable to the families of the victims. Arthur Wolk was on the Plaintiff Steering Committee and, before his settlements ...
www.airlaw.com/verdicts.htm - Cached - Similar

Arthur Alan Wolk - Aviation Accident Attorney
Arthur Alan Wolk has 35 years aviation litigation experience in lawsuits ...
www.airlaw.com/bio_wolk.htm - Cached - Similar
+ Show more results from airlaw.com

Arthur Wolk - A resident of Philadelphia, Pennsylvania, attorney ...
Notable courtroom victories and settlements won by Arthur Wolk include Egyptian Flight 990, United Airlines Flight 232, and Swissair Flight 111, ...
www.peoplepond.com/arthurwolk - Cached

Arthur Alan Wolk - Wikipedia, the free encyclopedia
Arthur Alan Wolk (born October 25, 1943) is an attorney, author and the founding ... a b The Wolk Law Firm - Aircraft Disaster Verdicts and Settlements ...
en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Arthur_Alan_Wolk - Cached

Arthur Wolk Widely Credited With Egyptian 990 Settlement - PR ...
Jan 29, 2001 ... Arthur Wolk widely credited with Egyptian 990 settlement from PR Newswire, HighBeam Research - FREE Trial.
www.highbeam.com: Jan 29, 2001, Monday, January 29 - Cached

Arthur Wolk Air Safety Expert
Arthur Wolk is also an author, editor and lecturer on aviation law and air safety ... Wolk has personally generating verdicts and settlements of over \$1 ...
www.squidoo.com/arthur-alan-wolk - Cached

Arthur Alan Wolk v Teledyne Industries, Inc.
Apr 8, 2007 ... Arthur Alan Wolk v. Teledyne Industries, Inc. ... Did Wolk's client suffer from a reduced settlement so that his attorney could avoid having ...
overlawyered.com: arthur-alan-wolk-v-teledyne-industries-inc - Cached - Similar

Arthur Alan Wolk v Olson, No. 09-cv-4001 (E.D. Pa. 2010)

Search

Web History | Search settings | Sign In

Exhibit I

Philadelphia Business Journal or The Legal Intelligence? Boo the puppy (talk) 13:00, 4 November 2010 (UTC)

Minor libel cases that you have created an article for, and there is a see also link, so that's alright for the time being. I don't see that there is an issue, the content is disputed in this bio for its weight its notability and as such is better in its own article. Look, lets wait for more input. ORZforob (talk) 13:08, 4 November 2010 (UTC)

I disagree that there is a "weight" problem with inclusion of material on this issue here. Perhaps it would need to be pared down a bit relative to "Boo the puppy's" preferred version, but it is plainly unreasonable to delete it altogether given the available coverage in reliable/secondary sources. Nomosredastichy (talk) 17:12, 4 November 2010 (UTC)

Autobiography

This article is unencyclopedic. It reads like an autobiography. I recommend either deletion or extensive rewriting. At the moment all facts portray the subject in a very positive light, as if the presentation were designed for public relations. Of course we do not know who has been editing the article, but we can judge the results. Jehochman [™] 15:24, 4 November 2010 (UTC)

I have no opinion on whether this is autobiography or public relations, but I relay the following facts: Christine deGraft says she represents Arthur Wolk [™] and makes a legal threat regarding "posting information not relevant to Mr. Wolk's area of expertise." [™] Use of Lawrenceanwick also works for "websketching" [™] but perhaps that's a coincidence [™]. (My COI disclosure: Wolk has sued me. Twice. I hereby request that no one write about Arthur Wolk without Arthur Wolk's permission. If you do write about Arthur Wolk without his permission, you do so against my express wishes, and Arthur Wolk should not sue me a third time for "inching" you to write about him.) THF (talk) 18:38, 4 November 2010 (UTC)

A fair bit of this is also going over my head but I do have spider sense and we can easily delete this. I would happily support deletion. Limited notability - subject previously objected to his portrayal on the internet - ORZforob (talk) 18:47, 4 November 2010 (UTC)

I agree deletion is the way to go. We don't need controversial articles about borderline notable people where litigants may potentially use the article as a battleground. See section below. The subject may wish for the article to be deleted - if so, they are wise. Jehochman [™] 19:25, 4 November 2010 (UTC)

Note to the subject or their lawyer

Per the request here [™], which may or may not be authorized by the subject of the article, I would like to provide the following advice:

If you have problems with an article about you, Wikipedia is ready to help. Please see WP:OTRS for information about how to get help. Jehochman [™] 19:24, 4 November 2010 (UTC)

Actually, Wolk has received substantial coverage on the front page of the January 5, 2000, USA Today. The article points out that he crashed his Grumman Panther warplane by hitting a fence and that the aviation lawyers discussed in the article typically collect 23% of defendant payments as contingency fees. An article on Wolk certainly meets Wikipedia notability standards, but requires a rewrite. Racepacket (talk) 07:45, 5 November 2010 (UTC)

Hmmm. I don't think he does. See Notability...only one event ->gph. (Talk) 03:55, 18 November 2010 (UTC)

Info-mercial

The article reads like an info-mercial. I so no useful information in it whatever. The writer needs to buy commercial ad space! —Presing, [™] 19:25, 5 November 2010 (UTC)

Categories: Biography articles of living people | Unassessed biography articles | WikiProject Biography articles | Start-Class AFC articles | AFC submissions by date/18 October 2010 | Accepted AFC submissions

This page was last modified on 18 November 2010 at 03:55.

Text is available under the Creative Commons Attribution-ShareAlike license; additional terms may apply. See Terms of Use for details. Wikipedia® is a registered trademark of the Wikimedia Foundation, Inc., a non-profit organization.

Contact us

Privacy policy About Wikipedia Disclaimers

Windows taskbar and system tray area showing Start button, taskbar icons (Internet Explorer, etc.), and system tray (clock, volume, network, 95% battery).

Exhibit J

• **Delete subject or characters of minimal notability, and transfer to regular articles and sources connected with the article.** We don't have the characters. 2007-11-06 November 2010 (UTC)

None of those (except "minimal notability") is even a potential reason for deletion. — Arthur Rubin (talk) 09:06, 6 November 2010 (UTC)

On the contrary, those are definitely reasons to take into consideration for deletion, if the cost to Wikipedia's editors of maintaining the article (dealing with constant legal harassment, etc) is not worth the benefit (maintaining a neutral biography of a colorful local figure of minimal import). 2007-11-24, 6 November 2010 (UTC)

But the editors who were causing difficulties are no longer a problem: one is blocked, the other has undertaken not to edit the page anymore (see here). We have the means of dealing with WP:BATTLE. It has been dealt with. Nomoskedasticity (talk) 21:31, 6 November 2010 (UTC)

Oh really? THF is commenting below. The battle is still ongoing. Jehochman 7:11:59, 7 November 2010 (UTC)

So then he can be dealt with as well. Really, it's not difficult. I won't lose sleep if this article is deleted, but I'd rather it be done (or not done) for the right reasons. Nomoskedasticity (talk) 12:07, 7 November 2010 (UTC)

Agree: I will not lose sleep if the article is kept. :) What we need to do is remove the partisans, and prune all the dubious content. My feeling is that once all the layers of bad content are peeled off, there won't be enough left to have an article. Jehochman 12:16, 7 November 2010 (UTC)

• **Comment: Wolk meets Wikipedia notability standards under WP: BIO and WP: GNG.** If Wolk wants the article deleted, as Jehochman states, I support its deletion. If Wolk wants the article kept, as Lawrence Warwick states, I support keeping it. I have serious concern that Wolk will sue Wikipedia and Wikipedia editors if his Wikipedia presence is not to his liking. (Wolk might sue poor Lawrence Warwick and his marketing firm if Warwick didn't warn him about WP:LUC when he made that phone call.) American Wikipedia editors considering editing the article should review this recent lawsuit by Wolk (page 9 forward) that (1) alleges that anyone who links to a website is a "co-partner" of everything that website and all of its commenters say and (2) shows what sort of statement about Wolk Wolk considers legally actionable. (Disclosure of conflict of interest: Wolk has sued me. Twice. For the same 2007 blog post.) Because Wolk has accused me of "inciting" negative comments about him, I hereby request that no one on Wikipedia write anything about Wolk that Wolk does not want them to write. Editors should only write true things about Wolk. Contrary to Lawrence Warwick's complaint, I have not edited and will not edit the Wolk article. My only edit was to nominate the forked lawsuit article for deletion, which Wolk's marketing representatives requested. Long-time Wikipedia editors will know that I have consistently (if unsuccessfully) argued that district-court cases do not merit their own articles except in rare cases like the Scopes trial. THF (talk) 22:29, 6 November 2010 (UTC)

Comment it seems to me that we should have a two-staged analysis. First, here, the community should apply normal community standards to determine if the article is worth inclusion. Second, if the AfD decides to keep the article, Wikimedia Foundation management can make a cost-benefit analysis as to whether it is worth its resources to implement the community's desires. If the AfD decides to keep the article, and someone then makes a legal demand on Wikimedia, they can make a unilateral decision to bow to the demand or to fight it in court. However, the community should not assume that just because other lawsuits have been filed in the past, that a lawsuit will be forthcoming here. Our task here is to reach a conclusion applying all of the normal Wikipedia criteria in the normal course. Speculation about possible future legal threats should not enter into our deliberations here. Thanks, Raapeacker (talk) 00:35, 7 November 2010 (UTC)

• **Redflag THF should not be commenting here.** By his own admission, he's involved in a lawsuit with the subject. I'd like to see the article seems to be some sort of co-track, where PR agents, clients and antagonists of the subject are competing to hang their coats. Jehochman 11:58, 7 November 2010 (UTC)

1. Why are you acting like you've discovered something sinister? I disclosed the conflict of interest in my comment, which you plainly didn't even read. With this edit I add emphasis to help guide your eye.
 2. Please run the check-user so I can be exonerated. Again, it's ironic, because I've been regularly harassed by sock puppets since I started editing Wikipedia, but for some reason, I get accused of sock-puppeting every time another editor agrees with me. I'm Colled, I'm Cool Hand Luke, and so on. And here, where another editor and I disagree and he or she insults me and after that editor is blocked a SPA appears and vandalizes the article about me. (All this wonderful concern about BLP, but no one's touched the libelous edit about me that's been sitting in mainspace for several days.) If I had a nickel every time someone made a false sock-puppet allegation against me on Wikipedia, I'd have at least 25 cents. And I'm especially offended by the accusation in this case, because it could get me sued by someone who has previously sued me because I linked to a website.
 3. You also plainly didn't read the guideline you cited and falsely accused me of violating, since it nowhere says I should not be commenting on a discussion page.
 4. I'd like an apology for all these WP:AGF violations, but I've sadly come to accept that the civility policies are never enforced when it comes to baseless personal insults against me, and I don't really have time or interest for Wikidrama. THF (talk) 06:30, 11 November 2010 (UTC)
- **Comment: Why don't we stop commenting off topic and just stick to keep or delete until closing?** The Eskimo (talk) 18:57, 7 November 2010 (UTC)




Exhibit K

11:03:20:10 I am reverting or undoing the contribution by "Boo the puppy" from the Arthur Alan Wolk article because it has violated several Wikipedia policies about living persons: poorly sourced – self published sources (references from blogs), misuse of primary sources and disparaging (biased) content. The content is contentious and reports a conflict Wolk is having with bloggers. Not appropriate for information about living persons. LEW (talk) 10:24, 3 November 2010 (UTC)

I disagree. Let's ask a third party.—Presiding Unsprayed comment added by Boo the puppy (talk • contribs) 10:24, 3 November 2010

November 2010

Welcome to Wikipedia. Although everyone is welcome to contribute constructively to the encyclopedia, your addition of one or more external links to the page Arthur Alan Wolk v. Walter Olson has been reverted.

Your edit here was reverted by an automated bot that attempts to remove links which are discouraged per our external links guideline from Wikipedia. The external link you added or changed is on my list of links to remove and probably shouldn't be included in Wikipedia. I removed the following links: http://doctors.justia.com/docket/temple/nyamajapaadce/2:2009cv040013211303/? If you were trying to insert an external link that does comply with our policies and guidelines, then please accept my creator's apologies and feel free to undo the bot's revert. However, if the link does not comply with our policies and guidelines, but your edit included other, constructive, changes to the article, feel free to make those changes again without re-adding the link. Please read Wikipedia's external links guideline for more information, and consult my list of frequently-reverted sites. For more information about me, see my FAQ page. Thanks! –XLinkBot (talk) 16:50, 3 November 2010 (UTC)

Welcome!

Hello Boo, welcome to Wikipedia! Thank you for your contributions. I hope you like the place and decide to stay. Our intro page contains a lot of helpful material for new users—please check it out! If you need help, visit Wikipedia:Questions, ask me on my talk page, or place {{helpme}} on this page and someone will show up shortly to answer your questions.

Looking at your amusing user page, you might like to read Wikipedia:How to not get outed on Wikipedia, Whatamidoing (talk) 17:24, 3 November 2010 (UTC)

I see that you have made several edits to articles about Arthur Alan Wolk. Concurring with Whatamidoing, you should be aware of this recent lawsuit where Wolk has requested IP addresses. As a defendant in the case you are writing about, and as a defendant in another case where Arthur Wolk has accused me of "inching" people to write about the case, I request that you please do not write about this case without Arthur Wolk's permission. I make this request so that Arthur Wolk knows that if you write about this case, you do so against my wishes, and that I cannot be held legally responsible for anything you write. My apologies for this message. THF (talk) 15:08, 4 November 2010 (UTC)

Take it to Sarah Palin. Screw you and all the other lawyer bullies. I don't let Arthur Wolk intimidate me from editing Wikipedia, and I don't let THF intimidate me. Everyone knows who you are. Boo the puppy (talk) 12:05, 7 November 2010 (UTC)

Removing templates

Please do not continue to remove templates added by other users until discussion or consensus has been reached that they no longer benefit the article. Offznorob (talk) 13:11, 4 November 2010 (UTC)

How are we supposed to reach consensus when you refuse to discuss them? I have explained why they're inappropriate. The article isn't going to get any more notable. If you think it's not notable, nominate for deletion and let the community decide. Boo the puppy (talk) 13:16, 4 November 2010 (UTC)

revert 3rr

⚠You currently appear to be engaged in an edit war according to the reverts you have made on Arthur Alan Wolk v. Walter Olson. Users who edit disruptively or refuse to collaborate with others may be blocked if they continue. In particular the three-revert rule states that making more than three reversions on a single page within a 24-hour period is almost always grounds for an immediate block. If you find yourself in an editing dispute, use the talk page to discuss controversial changes. Work towards wording and content that gains consensus among editors. If unsuccessful then do not edit war even if you believe you are right. Post a request for help at an appropriate noticeboard or seek dispute resolution. In some cases it may be appropriate to request temporary page protection. If edit warring continues, you may be blocked from editing without further notice. Offznorob (talk) 13:32, 4 November 2010 (UTC)