WOLK v. OLSON et al Doc. 44 Att. 7

Exhibit "G"

----Original Message----

From: Walter DeForest [mailto:deforest@dkykb.com]

Sent: Thu 4/16/2009 10:08 AM

To: Ronald Coleman Cc: Paul Rosen, Esquire Subject: Overlawyered.com

Re: Overlawyered.com

Dear Mr. Coleman:

I have been receiving copies of various emails between Mr. Arthur Alan Wolk and you or your client regarding a web article entitled "Arthur Alan Wolk v. Teledyne Industries, Inc."

Although I was not involved in the underlying Taylor case in the Northern District of Georgia, I represented the various Teledyne related entities in the suit of Arthur Alan Wolk v. Teledyne Industries, Inc., in the Eastern District of Pennsylvania.

This is to confirm that as part of the resolution of that suit in the Eastern District of Pennsylvania, Mr. Wolk and my clients agreed that in the event any claims or challenges of unprofessional conduct are made against Mr. Wolk based in whole or in part on the Taylor Decisions or his actions in the Taylor Case, Mr. Wolk may state that he and the Teledyne related entities who were my clients agreed, without any party admitting any fault or liability, to move forward as though the Taylor Decisions had never been issued and do not agree that the Taylor Decisions or Mr. Wolk's actions in the Taylor Case would support a basis for disqualification or any negative action against Mr. Wolk in response to such claims or challenges of unprofessional conduct; in the foregoing situation of claims or challenges of unprofessional conduct made against Mr. Wolk based in whole or in part on the Taylor Decisions or his actions in the Taylor Case or based upon a case that cites the Taylor Decisions or Judge Carnes' criticism of Mr. Wolk's actions in the Taylor Case, Mr. Wolk may disclose what I have just set forth in this paragraph.

I provide this information to you for your consideration.

Walter P. DeForest, Esquire DeForest Koscelnik Yokitis Kaplan & Berardinelli 3000 Koppers Building