COUNTY OF SANTA CLARA v. SMITHKLINE BEECHAM CORPORATION et al Doc. 23

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA

In re: AVANDIA MARKETING, SALES PRACTICES AND PRODUCTS LIABILITY LITIGATION	:	**AVANDIA MDL 1871** **2007-MD-1871**
THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO ***County of Santa Clara v. GSK***	:	**Case No. 10-1637**

ORDER

AND NOW, this 26th day of October 2011, upon review of Defendant's Motion to Dismiss [Doc. No. 8], Plaintiff's Response in Opposition [Doc. No. 13], and Defendant's Reply [Doc. No 16], and for the reasons set forth in the attached Memorandum Opinion, it is hereby **ORDERED** that Defendant's Motion to Dismiss is **GRANTED** as to the County of Santa Clara's claim on its own behalf, and **DENIED** as to its claim on behalf of the people of California.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

BY THE COURT:

/s/ Cynthia M. Rufe

CYNTHIA M. RUFE, J.

Dockets.Justia.com