


**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA**

PETER SCHATZBERG, D.C., et al.	:	CIVIL ACTION
<i>Plaintiffs</i>	:	
	:	NO. 10-2900
v.	:	
	:	
STATE FARM MUTUAL AUTOMOBILE INSURANCE COMPANY, et al.	:	
<i>Defendants</i>	:	

ORDER

AND NOW, this 7th day of October 2015, upon consideration of the *motion for summary judgment* filed by the Schatzberg Entities,¹ [ECF 178], the opposition thereto filed by State Farm Mutual Automobile Insurance Company and State Farm Fire and Casualty Company (collectively, “State Farm”), [ECF 187], and the Schatzberg Entities’ reply, [ECF 196], it is hereby **ORDERED**, for the reasons set forth in the accompanying Memorandum Opinion, that the motion is **GRANTED**, and judgment is entered in favor of the Schatzberg Entities and against State Farm, on all of the claims asserted in State Farm’s amended answer and counterclaim to the amended complaint. [ECF 47].

BY THE COURT:


NITZA I. QUIÑONES ALEJANDRO, J.

¹ The Schatzberg Entities include the following: Peter Schatzberg, D.C., Peter Schatzberg, D.C., P.C., d/b/a Delaware County Pain Management and Philadelphia Pain Management, Delaware Pain Management, LLC, d/b/a Delaware Pain Management & MRI, American Medical Rehabilitation, Inc., and Philadelphia Pain Management, Inc.