

- b. With respect to Defendant Haverford Township Police Department, the Haverford Defendants' Motion is **GRANTED. JUDGMENT IS ENTERED** in favor of Defendant Haverford Township Police Department on all claims and against Plaintiffs.
- c. With respect to Defendant Township of Haverford, the Haverford Defendants' Motion is **GRANTED. JUDGMENT IS ENTERED** in favor of Defendant Township of Haverford on all claims.
- d. The Haverford Defendants' Motion for Summary Judgment on Plaintiffs' § 1983 claims of unlawful arrest and excessive force against Officers Pike and Gill is **DENIED**.
- e. The Haverford Defendants' Motion for Summary Judgment on Plaintiffs' § 1985 claim is **GRANTED. JUDGMENT IS ENTERED** in favor of the Haverford Defendants and against Plaintiffs on the § 1985 claim.
- f. The Haverford Defendants' Motion for Summary Judgment on Plaintiffs' state law claims of assault and battery is **DENIED**.
- g. The Haverford Defendants' Motion for Summary Judgment on Plaintiffs' state law claims of false arrest and false imprisonment is **DENIED**.
- h. The Haverford Defendants' Motion for Summary Judgment on Plaintiffs' state law claim of intentional infliction of emotional distress is **GRANTED. JUDGMENT IS ENTERED** in favor of the Haverford Defendants and against Plaintiffs on the intentional infliction of emotional distress claim.
- i. The Haverford Defendants' Motion for Summary Judgment on Plaintiffs' state law claim of negligence is **GRANTED. JUDGMENT IS ENTERED** in favor of the Haverford Defendants and against Plaintiffs on the negligence claim.
- j. The Haverford Defendants' Motion for Summary Judgment on Plaintiffs' state law claim of loss of consortium is **DENIED**.
- k. The Haverford Defendants' Motion for Summary Judgment on Plaintiffs' claim for punitive damages is **DENIED**.

It is so **ORDERED**.

BY THE COURT:

s/ Ronald L. Buckwalter
RONALD L. BUCKWALTER, S.J.