

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA

LIZA MARTIN, on behalf of G.L.V., : CIVIL ACTION  
 : NO. 11-5955  
Plaintiff, :  
 :  
v. :  
 :  
MICHAEL J. ASTRUE, :  
Commissioner of the :  
Social Security Administration, :  
 :  
Defendant. :

**O R D E R**

**AND NOW**, this **27th** day of **November, 2012**, upon careful and independent consideration of the parties' briefs and the Report and Recommendation of U.S. Magistrate Judge Lynne A. Sitarski, it is hereby **ORDERED** as follows:

1. The Report and Recommendation (ECF No. 21) is **APPROVED** and **ADOPTED**;<sup>1</sup>
2. Plaintiff's Request for Review is **GRANTED**; and
3. This matter is **REMANDED** to the Commissioner for further proceedings consistent with the Report and Recommendation.

---

<sup>1</sup> Neither party filed objections to the Magistrate Judge's Report and Recommendation.

**It is further ORDERED** that the Clerk of the Court shall enter **JUDGMENT IN FAVOR OF PLAINTIFF AND AGAINST DEFENDANT<sup>2</sup>** and shall mark this case as closed for statistical purposes.

**AND IT IS SO ORDERED.**

s/Eduardo C. Robreno  
EDUARDO C. ROBRENO, J.

---

<sup>2</sup> In a sentence four case, “[t]he district court shall have power to enter, upon the pleadings and transcript of the record, a judgment affirming, modifying, or revising the decision of the Secretary, with or without remanding the cause for a rehearing. . . .” Shalala v. Schaefer, 509 U.S. 292, 296 n.1 (1993) (citing 42 U.S.C. § 405(g) (2006)).