#### UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA — DESIGNATION FORM to be used by counsel to indicate the category of the case for the purpose of assignment to appropriate calendar. Address of Plaintiff: 1 Queens Pass, Colts Neck, New Jersey mounter Avenue Bryn Mawr, PA Place of Accident, Incident or Transaction: Bryn Mawr, (Use Reverse Side For Additional Space) Does this civil action involve a nongovernmental corporate party with any parent corporation and any publicly held corporation owning 10% or more of its stock? (Attach two copies of the Disclosure Statement Form in accordance with Fed.R.Civ.P. 7.1(a))  $_{\mathrm{Yes}}\square$ No 🛛 No 💢 Does this case involve multidistrict litigation possibilities? RELATED CASE, IF ANY: Date Terminated: Case Number: \_\_\_ Civil cases are deemed related when yes is answered to any of the following questions: 1. Is this case related to property included in an earlier numbered suit pending or within one year previously terminated action in this court? 2. Does this case involve the same issue of fact or grow out of the same transaction as a prior suit pending or within one year previously terminated action in this court? 3. Does this case involve the validity or infringement of a patent already in suit or any earlier numbered case pending or within one year previously terminated action in this court? 4. Is this case a second or successive habeas corpus, social security appeal, or pro se civil rights case filed by the same individual?  $_{\rm Yes}\square$ NoX CIVIL: (Place ✓ in ONE CATEGORY ONLY) A. Federal Question Cases: B. Diversity Jurisdiction Cases: 1. Indemnity Contract, Marine Contract, and All Other Contracts 1. ☐ Insurance Contract and Other Contracts 2. 

FELA 2. 

Airplane Personal Injury 3. □ Jones Act-Personal Injury 3. □ Assault, Defamation 4. □ Antitrust 4. □ Marine Personal Injury 5. 

Patent 5. 

Motor Vehicle Personal Injury 6. □ Labor-Management Relations 6. □ Other Personal Injury (Please specify) 7. 

Civil Rights 7. 

Products Liability 8. □ Habeas Corpus 8. 

Products Liability — Asbestos 9. ☐ Securities Act(s) Cases 9. □ All other Diversity Cases 10. □ Social Security Review Cases (Please specify) 11. ☐ All other Federal Question Cases (Please specify) ARBITRATION CERTIFICATION (Check Appropriate Category) , counsel of record do hereby certify: □ Pursuant to Local Civil Rule 53.2, Section 3(c)(2), that to the best of my knowledge and belief, the damages recoverable in this civil action case exceed the sum of \$150,000.00 exclusive of interest and costs; ☐ Relief other than monetary damages is sought Attorney-at-Law Attorney I.D.# NOTE: A trial de novo will be a trial by jury only if there has been compliance with F.R.C.P. 38. I certify that, to my knowledge, the within case is not related to any case now pending or within one year previously terminated action in this court except as noted above.

CIV, 609 (6/08)

### IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA

### CASE MANAGEMENT TRACK DESIGNATION FORM

**CIVIL ACTION** 

		:			
inches of	1	· :	NO.		
plaintiff shall complete a Ca filing the complaint and serv side of this form.) In the designation, that defendant	ase Management Trace a copy on all defe event that a defend shall, with its first arties, a Case Mana	ack Designation dants. (See § lant does not a appearance, sulgement Track I	luction Plan of this court, couns on Form in all civil cases at the till 1:03 of the plan set forth on the regree with the plaintiff regarding bmit to the clerk of court and ser Designation Form specifying the	me of everse g said eve on	
SELECT ONE OF THE F	OLLOWING CAS	SE MANAGEI	MENT TRACKS:		
(a) Habeas Corpus – Cases brought under 28 U.S.C. § 2241 through § 2255.					
(b) Social Security – Cases and Human Services de	requesting review nying plaintiff Soci	of a decision of al Security Ber	f the Secretary of Health nefits.	( )	
(c) Arbitration – Cases required to be designated for arbitration under Local Civil Rule 53.2.					
(d) Asbestos – Cases involvexposure to asbestos.	ing claims for pers	onal injury or p	property damage from	( )	
(e) Special Management – commonly referred to as the court. (See reverse management cases.)	s complex and that	need special or	intense management by	( )	
(f) Standard Management -	- Cases that do not	fall into any or	ne of the other tracks.	(V)	
1 1 1 1 Date 110 061 6 041				<u>:01.6</u> 84	
Telephone	FAX Numb	er	E-Mail Address		
(Civ. 660) 10/02					

## IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA

### **CASE MANAGEMENT TRACK DESIGNATION FORM**

CIVIL ACTION

Joseph Ruggie The Grug, e	200	: : :	CIVIL ACTION	
The Grog, e	dal.	: :	NO.	
plaintiff shall complete a C filing the complaint and ser side of this form.) In the designation, that defendant	Case Management we a copy on all descript that a defeat shall, with its fir arties, a Case Ma	Track Designation of the Track Designation of the Standart does not a stappearance, substangement Track E	uction Plan of this court, coun n Form in all civil cases at the t I:03 of the plan set forth on the r gree with the plaintiff regardin omit to the clerk of court and se Designation Form specifying the	ime of everse g said erve on
SELECT ONE OF THE I	FOLLOWING C	ASE MANAGEM	MENT TRACKS:	
(a) Habeas Corpus – Cases	s brought under 2	8 U.S.C. § 2241 tł	nrough § 2255.	( )
(b) Social Security – Cases and Human Services do	s requesting revie enying plaintiff S	w of a decision of ocial Security Ben	the Secretary of Health aefits.	( )
(c) Arbitration – Cases req	uired to be design	nated for arbitratio	on under Local Civil Rule 53.2.	( )
(d) Asbestos – Cases invol exposure to asbestos.	ving claims for p	ersonal injury or p	property damage from	( )
(e) Special Management – commonly referred to a the court. (See reverse management cases.)	is complex and th	at need special or	intense management by	( )
(f) Standard Management	– Cases that do n	ot fall into any one	e of the other tracks.	X
9/21/11 Date 215 564 6544	L. Kenneth Attorney- 215 97	Choliner at-law 2890	Joseph Ruggiers Attorney for Ikcothecholinecti	<u></u>
Telephone	FAX Nun		E-Mail Address	
(Civ. 660) 10/02				

### **CIVIL COVER SHEET**

The JS 44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replace nor supplement the filing and service of pleadings or other papers as required by law, except as provided by local rules of court. This form, approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of initiating the civil docket sheet. (SEE INSTRUCTIONS ON THE REVERSE OF THE FORM.)

I. (a) PLAINTIFFS		DEFENDANTS				
Joseph Ruggiero		The Grog, The F	The Grog, The Pub Group, LLC, The Pub Group II, LLC, Douglas Shafer, Charles McHugh, Ryan Walsh, Torrence County of Residence of First Listed Defendant (IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES ONLY)  NOTE: IN LAND CONDEMNATION CASES, USE THE LOCATION OF THE LAND INVOLVED.			
<b>(b)</b> County of Residence	e of First Listed Plaintiff Monmouth Co., N. EXCEPT IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES)	County of Residence o				
(c) Attorney's (Firm Name	e, Address, and Telephone Number)	Attorneys (If Known)				
L. Kenneth Chotiner, Th	ne Chotiner Firm, 1818 Market Street,	Suite				
3100 Philadelphia, PA 11. BASIS OF JURISI		III. CITIZENSHIP OF P	RINCIPAL PARTIES			
7 1 U.S. Government Plaintiff (U.S. Government Not a Party)			「F DEF   対 1 Incorporated or Pr   of Business In Thi	•		
Defendant	▼ 4 Diversity  (Indicate Citizenship of Parties in Item III)	Citizen of Another State	2 7 2 Incorporated and I of Business In a			
		Citizen or Subject of a Foreign Country	3	□ 6 □ 6		
IV. NATURE OF SUI	T (Place an "X" in One Box Only) TORTS	FORFEITURE/PENALTY	BANKRUPTCY	OTHER STATUTES		
☐ 110 Insurance ☐ 120 Marine ☐ 130 Miller Act ☐ 140 Negotiable Instrument ☐ 150 Recovery of Overpayment Æ Enforcement of Judgment ☐ 151 Medicare Act ☐ 152 Recovery of Defaulted Student Loans (Excl. Veterans) ☐ 153 Recovery of Overpayment of Veteran's Benefits ☐ 160 Stockholders' Suits ☐ 190 Other Contract ☐ 195 Contract Product Liability ☐ 196 Franchise — REAL PROPERTY ☐ 210 Land Condemnation ☐ 220 Forcelosure ☐ 230 Rent Lease & Ejectment ☐ 245 Tort Product Liability ☐ 290 All Other Real Property	Slander 3 368 Asbestos Personal Injury Product Liability 1 2 349 Marine Product Liability 2 371 Truth in Lending 3 359 Motor Vehicle Product Liability 1 385 Motor Vehicle Product Liability 2 385 Property Damage 2 385 Property Damage 3 385 Pro	Display Security 200 (200 Other Food & Drug Related Seizure of Property 21 USC 881   Display Seizure of Property 21 USC 881   Display Seizure of Property 21 USC 881   Display Seizure Seizure of 400 R.R. & Truck   Display Seizure Regs   Display Seizure Regs   Display Seizure Regs   Display Seizure Region   Display Seizu	☐ 422 Appeal 28 USC 158 ☐ 423 Withdrawal	400 State Reapportionment   410 Antitrust   430 Banks and Banking   450 Commerce   460 Deportation   470 Racketeer Influenced and Corrupt Organizations   480 Consumer Credit   490 Cable/Sat TV   810 Selective Service   850 Securities/Commodities/Exchange   875 Customer Challenge   12 USC 3410   890 Other Statutory Actions   891 Agricultural Acts   892 Economic Stabilization Act   893 Environmental Matters   894 Energy Allocation Act   895 Freedom of Information Act   900 Appeal of Fee Determination Under Equal Access to Justice   950 Constitutionality of State Statutes		
□ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □	State Court Appellate Court	Reopened anoth	ferred from Grant			
VI. CAUSE OF ACT	ION Cite the U.S. Civil Statute under which you are 28 U.S.C. 1332 Brief description of cause: Negligence, Assault, and Battery					
VII. REQUESTED IN COMPLAINT:		·····	CHECK YES only JURY DEMAND	if demanded in complaint: : <b>Ø</b> Yes □ No		
VIII. RELATED CAS	SE(S) (See instructions): JUDGE		DOCKET NUMBER			
DATE 09/26/2011	SIGNATURE OF AT	TORNEY OF RECORD				
FOR OFFICE USE ONLY						
DECEMPT#	AMOUNT APPLYING IFP	JUDGE	MAG. JU	DGE		

### IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA

JOSEPH RUGGIERO **CIVIL ACTION** Plaintiff No.: 11-CV-Vs. THE GROG. JURY TRIAL DEMANDED THE PUB GROUP, LLC, d/b/a THE GROG, THE PUB GROUP II, LLC, d/b/a THE GROG, **DOUGLAS SHAFER CHARLES McHUGH** RYAN WALSH TORRENCE AMOS, Jr. CHAND PATELLA, and, JOHN DOE NUMBERS ONE THROUGH TEN Defendants

### COMPLAINT

#### I. JURISDICTION

- 1. This action is brought pursuant to 28 U.S.C. Section 1332.
- 2. The Plaintiff, Joseph Ruggiero ("Plaintiff") is a Citizen of the State of New Jersey.
- 3. The Defendants are Citizens of the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania.
- 4. The amount in controversy exceeds \$75,000.00.

#### II. PARTIES

- 5. Plaintiff, Joseph Ruggiero, is a resident and citizen of the State of New Jersey, currently residing at 1 Queens Pass, Colts Neck, New Jersey.
- 6. Defendant, The Grog, is a business, entity, company, partnership, franchise, fictitious name, proprietorship, or, corporation with a registered office for the acceptance of service or a principal place of business at 863 Lancaster Avenue, Bryn Mar, Pennsylvania, 19010.

- 7. Defendant. The Pub Group. LLC, d/b/a. The Grog, is a business, entity, company, partnership, franchise, fictitious name, proprietorship, or, corporation with a registered office for the acceptance of service or a principal place of business at 863 Lancaster Avenue, Bryn Mar, Pennsylvania, 19010.
- 8. Defendant, The Pub Group II, LLC, d/b/a, The Grog, is a business, entity, company, partnership, franchise, fictitious name, proprietorship, or, corporation with a registered office for the acceptance of service or a principal place of business at 863 Lancaster Avenue, Bryn Mar, Pennsylvania, 19010.
- 9. Defendant, Douglas Shafer, is, upon information and belief, a resident and citizen of the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania, currently residing at 900 Edmonds Avenue, Drexel Hill, Pennsylvania 19026.
- 10. Defendant, Charles McHugh, is, upon information and belief, a resident and citizen of the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania, currently residing at 106 Spring Garden Street, West Conshohocken, PA 19428.
- 11. Defendant, Ryan Walsh, is, upon information and belief, a resident and citizen of the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania, currently residing at 18 Oakford Road, Wayne, PA 19087.
- 12. Defendant, Torrence Amos, Jr., is, upon information and belief, a resident and citizen of the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania, currently residing at 95 Springton Road, Upper Darby, Pennsylvania 19082.
- 13. Defendant, Chand Patella, is, upon information and belief, a resident and citizen of the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania, currently residing at 275 S. Bryn Mawr Avenue, Apartment D-9, Bryn Mawr, Pennsylvania 19010.
- 14. At all times material and relevant to this complaint, Defendant, The Grog, and/or its agencies, and the employees, agents, servants, managers and/or representatives of said defendant and/or its agencies, were the agents, servants, workmen, employees and/or representatives of each other and were acting within the course and scope of said employment and/or agency, and/or were acting for a common purpose or a joint venture.
- 15. At all times material and relevant to this complaint, Defendant, The Pub Group, LLC, d/b/a The Grog, and/or its agencies, and the employees, agents, servants, managers and/or representatives of said defendant and/or its agencies, were the agents, servants, workmen, employees and/or representatives

of each other and were acting within the course and scope of said employment and/or agency, and/or were acting for a common purpose or a joint venture.

- 16. At all times material and relevant to this complaint, Defendant, The Pub Group II, LLC, d/b/a The Grog, and/or its agencies, and the employees, agents, servants, managers and/or representatives of said defendant and/or its agencies, were the agents, servants, workmen, employees and/or representatives of each other and were acting within the course and scope of said employment and/or agency, and/or were acting for a common purpose or a joint venture.
- 17. At all times material and relevant to this complaint, said defendants, jointly and/or severally, did act through their agents, employees, owners, representatives, agents and/or employees while in the course and scope of their employment and/or agency.
- 18. Defendants, The Grog, The Pub Group, LLC, d/b/a The Grog, and/or, the Pub Group II, LLC, d/b/a the Grog, jointly and/or severally, owned, managed, possessed, selected personnel, staffed, trained, supervised, and/or operated a business known as The Grog at 863 W. Lancaster Avenue, Bryn Mawr, Pennsylvania.
- 19. All references to "bouncers" and/or "Defendants' bouncers" refer to those employees, servants, and/or agents of the Defendants. The Grog, The Pub Group, LLC, d/b/a The Grog, and/or, the Pub Group II, LLC, d/b/a the Grog, and Defendants, Shafer, McHugh, Walsh, and, Amos.

#### III. FACTS

- 20. On or about January 21, 2010, at approximately 11:30 p.m., Plaintiff, Joseph Ruggiero, was a business invitee at defendants' The Grog, The Pub Group, LLC, d/b/a The Grog, and/or, the Pub Group II, LLC, d/b/a the Grog, nightclub located at 863 W. Lancaster Avenue, Bryn Mawr, Pennsylvania.
- 21. At the aforestated time and place, one of plaintiff's friends was refused entry to the nightclub.
  - 22. Plaintiff, who was already in the nightclub, with other friends, decided to leave.
  - 23. Plaintiff left the nightclub without incident.
- 24. As plaintiff was walking down the street on Lancaster Avenue, he was approached by Defendants, Shafer, McHugh, Walsh, Amos, and Patella and was unlawfully assaulted, battered, and attached by said defendants, hitting his body and/or striking him with an object without any legal

justification. Immediately prior to being attacked, plaintiff was peacefully and lawfully on the street off of defendants' premises.

- 25. As a result of plaintiffs attack by the individual defendants, he suffered injuries, including but not limited to, his head, teethe, and a central disc herniation at C5-C6.
- 26. Upon information and belief, at all relevant times, Defendants, Shafer, McHugh, Walsh, Amos, and Patella, were employed as employees, agents and/or servants of Defendants, The Grog, The Pub Group, LLC, d/b/a The Grog, and/or, the Pub Group II, LLC, d/b/a the Grog, jointly and/or severally, in the course and scope of their employment, service, and/or agency.
- 27. As the direct and proximate result of this incident, plaintiff has suffered injuries, which are or may be serious and permanent, including, but not limited to his head, teeth, and back, and, damage to his nerves and nervous system, and/or various other ills and injuries.
- 28. As a further result of this incident, plaintiff has been obligated to receive and undergo medical attention and care for his injuries, and to incur various expenses for said care, and he may be obligated to continue to expend such sums and to incur such expenses for an indefinite period of time in the future.
- 29. As a further result of this incident, plaintiff has been obligated to receive and undergo reasonable and necessary medical treatment and rehabilitative services for the injuries he has suffered, and to incur various expenses for said treatment and services, and he may incur various reasonable and necessary future medical expenses from the injuries sustained, and defendants, jointly and/or severally, are liable for all of same.
- 30. As a further result of this incident, plaintiff has suffered an injury, which may be in full or part a cosmetic disfigurement, which is or may be permanent, irreparable, or severe.
- 31. As a further result of this incident, plaintiff has been unable to attend to his daily chores, duties, and occupations, and may be unable to do so for an indefinite time in the future.
- 32. As a further result of this incident, plaintiff has been unable to attend to his daily chores, duties, and occupations, and may be unable to do so for an indefinite time in the future.
- 33. As a further result of this incident, plaintiff has or may suffer loss of earnings and impairment of his earning capacity and power, and may continue to suffer such a loss for an indefinite time in the future.

- 34. As a further result of the incident, plaintiff has or may continue in the future incur other financial expenses or losses to which he may be otherwise be entitled to recover.
- 35. As a further result of the incident, plaintiff has suffered severe physical pain, aches, mental anguish, and humiliation, inconveniences, and loss of life's pleasures, and he may continue to suffer the same for an indefinite time in the future.

# FIRST CAUSE OF ACTION NEGLIGENCE, NEGLIGENT SECURITY and/or SUPERVISION JOSEPH RUGGIERO v. THE GROG, THE PUB GROUP, LLC, and, THE PUB GROUP II, LLC

- 36. Plaintiff, Joseph Ruggiero, incorporates herein by reference paragraphs one through thirty-five, inclusive, as though same were set forth at length.
- 37. Based on information and belief, Defendants, The Grog, The Pub Group, LLC, d/b/a The Grog, and/or, the Pub Group II. LLC, d/b/a the Grog, hired, selected and/or trained the bouncers/security personnel who at all relevant times, were defendants' employees, agents and/or servants, who participated in the act and/or omissions set forth above resulting in the attack, assault, battery, false imprisonment of and/or injuring contact to plaintiff.
- 38. Based on information and belief, defendants, jointly and/or severally, undertook the responsibility for providing security through bouncers and/or otherwise for business invitees and patron to defendants' premises, such as plaintiff.
- 39. Based on information and belief, defendants, jointly and/or severally maintain their own in/house bouncers and/or security force and provide all training and employee selection for their bouncers and/or security guards, including those who were on duty at the time of this attack and incident.
- 40. Based on information and belief, defendants selected and trained the bouncers and/or security guards and all other employees, agents and/or servants who were involved in hitting, contacting, assaulting, and/or battering plaintiff.
- 41. As set forth below, defendants, jointly and/or severally, failed to undertake their duty to protect their patrons and customers form crime, particularly from harm by their own employees, agents

and/or servants, with reasonable care which resulted in plaintiff being attacked and injured. Had defendants, jointly and/or severally, exercised reasonable care in the protection of their patrons, business invitees and customers, such as plaintiff, plaintiff would not have been attacked and/or would not have sustained injuries and damages as set forth herein.

- 42. Based upon information and belief, before plaintiff was attacked, defendants, jointly and/or severally, were aware of or, through reasonable care and/or diligence, should have been aware of a high incidence of unlawful activity within their premises and a need to protect their customers, patrons, and invitees, such as plaintiff. Further, upon information and belief, defendants' employee(s), agent(s), and/or servant(s), were the ones who attacked plaintiff.
- 43. Based upon information and belief, defendants, jointly and/or severally, by and through their employees, agents and/or servants, intentionally, knowingly with reckless indifference to plaintiff's rights and safety and/or through their failure to exercise ordinary, reasonable or due care caused plaintiff harm and/or offensive contact to plaintiff by words, actions and/or physical contact without plaintiff's consent and/or placed plaintiff in imminent apprehension of such or offensive contract.
- 44. Based on information and belief, plaintiff was injured and/or harmed as a result of the assaults by defendants' employees, servants and/or agents.
- 45. Based on information and belief, at all relevant times, the actions and conduct of defendants, jointly and/or severally, by and their employees, agents and/or servants, set forth above were done and/or committed and were a direct and proximate as a result of the failure to exercise due care, reasonable or ordinary and/or negligence. Said negligence and actions and/or inactions constituting the failure use reasonable or due care, and/or recklessness from defendants, jointly and/or severally, include, but are not limited to the following:
  - (a) negligence and/or recklessness, as set forth herein and below;
  - (b) Improper training, supervision, management and/or instructions to Defendants' bouncers, employees, servants and/or agents involved in the assault; battery, false imprisonment, arrest and/or attack of plaintiff;

- (c) The express and/or implied authorization to use improper, excessive, and/or unreasonable force against patrons and/or business invitees such as plaintiff;
- (d) The failure to create, implement, follow or adhere to industry standards, internal management and/or defendants' own policies regarding bouncing safety restraint, patron safety and/or prevention of excessive and/or unreasonable force:
- (e) Defendants' failure to properly and/or adequately select, hire and/or supervise the employees, agents involved in plaintiff's assault, battery, false imprisonment, arrest and/or attack;
- (f) Defendants' failure to use reasonable or ordinary care in the selection and/or hiring of defendants' bouncers, employees, servants and/or agents involved in plaintiff's assault, battery, false imprisonment, arrest and/or attack;
- (g) Defendants' failure to use due, ordinary or reasonable care to establish and/or provide proper and/or adequate employee, agent and/or servant rules, regulations and/or supervisor or management, surveillance, supervision, and/or policies to defendants' employees, bounces, servants, and/or agents involved in plaintiff's assault, battery, false imprisonment, false arrest, and/or attack.
- (h) Defendants' failure to use due care to enforce proper and/or adequate employee, agent and/or servant rules, regulations, supervision and/or management with regard to such actions to prevent or otherwise intervene to minimize the attack, assault, battery, false imprisonment and/or arrest of plaintiff;
- (i) Defendants' failure to use due care to properly and/or adequately intervene and/or restrain defendants' bouncers, employees, servants and/or agents who attacked, assaulted, battered, falsely imprisoned and/or arrested plaintiff:
- (j) Defendants' failure to properly, reasonably, with ordinary and/or due care to properly or adequately intercede and/or contact emergency professional response such as police or others to prevent or minimize the attack, assault, battery, false imprisonment and/or arrest of plaintiff by defendants' agents, servants and/or employees, including by all those who who involved in said attack:
- (k) Defendants' use of unreasonable and/or excessive force:
- (I) Defendants' failure to use due care under the circumstances:
- (m) Defendants' failure to use due care in properly and/or adequately intervening an/or restraining defendants' bouncers, employees, servants and/or agents who attacked, assaulted, battered, falsely imprisoned and/or arrested plaintiff;
- (n) The failure to maintain proper or adequate supervision or surveillance of Defendants' personnel, agents, servants and/or employees under the circumstances presented:

- (o) The failure to test and/or properly monitor Defendants' supervision and/or surveillance to insure that they comply with proper and reasonable internal practices and as established in the industry:
- (p) The failure to establish and/or to enforce proper or adequate policies to prevent and deter excessive force, assault, battery, false imprisonment, and/or arrest such as what occurred to plaintiff;
- (q) The failure to adequately or properly intervene to prevent the assault of plaintiff;
- (r) The failure to adequately or properly intervene to prevent the battery of plaintiff;
- (s) The failure to adequately or properly intervene to prevent the false arrest, imprisonment of plaintiff:
- (t) Defendants' disregard and/or failure to properly or adequately follow its policies, practices and/or reasonable practices for preservation of patron safety;
- (u) Defendants' violation of federal and/or state law or ordinance, including negligence per se:
- (v) Failure to properly or adequately protect plaintiff from injury and/or assault;
- (w) Failure to properly or adequately investigate, research, interview and/or select defendants' security:
- (x) Breaching defendants' duties as an innkeeper to plaintiff;
- (y) Failure to correct prior acts of violence against patrons by defendants; employees and/or prior employees, agents and/or security personnel;
- (z) Failure to properly or adequately design, implement and use a security plan for the premises;
- (aa) The failure to install and/or incorporate proper or adequate security procedures and/or devices for defendants' patrons and invitees, such as plaintiff;
- (bb) Leaving plaintiff in a dangerous situation and/or location; and
- (cc) The failure to undertake defendants' security practice with reasonable care:
- 46. As a direct and proximate result of defendants' negligence, as set forth above, plaintiff suffered injuries and damages, as set forth from paragraph thirteen through paragraph twenty of this Civil Action Complaint.

47. Defendants' acts and/or omissions set forth above constituted a failure to exercise reasonable and/or ordinary care, and/or recklessness under the circumstances presented.

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff, Joseph Ruggiero, demands damages of the Defendants The Grog, The Pub Group, LLC, d/b/a The Grog, and/or, the Pub Group II, LLC, d/b/a the Grog, jointly and/or severally, in a sum in excess of \$75,000.00 plus interest, costs and other relief which the Court may deem appropriate.

# SECOND CAUSE OF ACTION STATE LAW CLAIM FOR ASSAULT AND BATTERY JOSEPH RUGGIERO

٧.

#### THE GROG, THE PUB GROUP, LLC D/B/A THE GROG AND THE PUBGROUP II LLC d/b/a THE GROG

- 48. By this reference plaintiff incorporates each and every allegation and averment set forth in Paragraphs 1 through 47 of this Complaint, as though fully set forth herein.
- 49. Defendants, jointly and/or severally, through their bouncers, employees, agents, intentionally, knowingly and/or recklessly attacked plaintiff by hitting, punching or otherwise engaging in verbal and/or physical abuse or offensive conduct to plaintiff.
- 50. As a direct and proximate result of Defendants' intentional, knowing and/or reckless acts, conduct and/or omissions, as set forth above, plaintiff suffered injuries and damages, as set forth from paragraph thirteen through paragraph twenty of this Civil Action Complaint.

WHEREFORE. Plaintiff. Joseph Ruggiero, demands damages of the Defendants The Grog, The Pub Group, LLC, d/b/a The Grog, and/or, the Pub Group II, LLC, d/b/a the Grog, jointly and/or severally, in a sum in excess of \$75,000.00 plus interest, costs and other relief which the Court may deem appropriate.

# THIRD CAUSE OF ACTION STATE LAW CLAIM FOR FALSE ARREST and/OR IMPRISONMENT JOSEPH RUGGIERO

٧.

#### THE GROG. THE PUB GROUP, LLC D/B/A THE GROG AND THE PUBGROUP II LLC d/b/a THE GROG

51. By this reference plaintiff incorporates each and every allegation and averment set forth in Paragraphs 1 through 50 of this Complaint, as though fully set forth herein.

- 52. Defendants, jointly and/or severally, by and through their bouncers, employees, agents and/or servants, intentionally, knowingly and/or recklessly permitted plaintiff's injuries and/or attack as forth above which included false imprisonment and/or arrest by defendants' bouncers, employees and/or agents, as set forth herein.
- 53. As a result of Defendant's intentional, malicious, willful, wanton, reckless acts, conduct and/or omissions, plaintiff was unlawfully restrained of his liberty, as well as being caused to suffer mental anguish, embarrassment, humiliation by being falsely imprisoned and arrested.
- 54. As a direct and proximate result of Defendants' intentional, knowing and/or reckless acts, conduct and/or omissions, as set forth above, plaintiff suffered injuries and damages, as set forth from paragraph thirteen through paragraph twenty of this Civil Action Complaint.

**WHEREFORE**, Plaintiff, Joseph Ruggiero, demands damages of the Defendants The Grog, The Pub Group, LLC, d/b/a The Grog, and/or, the Pub Group II, LLC, d/b/a the Grog, jointly and/or severally, in a sum in excess of \$75,000.00 plus interest, costs and other relief which the Court may deem appropriate.

# THIRD CAUSE OF ACTION STATE LAW CLAIM FOR ASSAULT AND BATTERY JOSEPH RUGGIERO

٧.

## DOUGLAS SHAFER, CHARLES McHUGH, RYAN WALSH, TORRENCE AMOS, JR., CHAND PATELLA AND JOHN DOE NUMBERS ONE THROUGH TEN

- 55. By this reference plaintiff incorporates each and every allegation and averment set forth in Paragraphs 1 through 54 of this Complaint, as though fully set forth herein.
- 56. Defendants, jointly and/or severally, intentionally, knowingly and/or recklessly attacked plaintiff by hitting, punching or otherwise engaging in verbal and/or physical abuse or offensive conduct to plaintiff.
- 57. As a direct and proximate result of Defendants' intentional, knowing and/or reckless acts, conduct and/or omissions, as set forth above, plaintiff suffered injuries and damages, as set forth from paragraph thirteen through paragraph twenty of this Civil Action Complaint.

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff, Joseph Ruggiero, demands damages of the Defendants Douglas Shafer, Charles McHugh, Ryan Walsh, Torrence Amos, Jr., Chand Patella, and, John Doe Numbers One through Ten jointly and/or severally, in a sum in excess of \$75,000.00 plus interest, costs and other relief which the Court may deem appropriate.

# FOURTH CAUSE OF ACTION STATE LAW CLAIM FOR FALSE ARREST and/OR IMPRISONMENT JOSEPH RUGGIERO

V

### DOUGLAS SHAFER, CHARLES McHUGH, RYAN WALSH, TORRENCE AMOS, JR., CHAND PATELLA AND JOHN DOE NUMBERS ONE THROUGH TEN

- 58. By this reference plaintiff incorporates each and every allegation and averment set forth in Paragraphs 1 through 57 of this Complaint, as though fully set forth herein.
- 59. Defendants, jointly and/or severally, intentionally, knowingly and/or recklessly permitted plaintiff's injuries and/or attack as forth above which included false imprisonment and/or arrest as set forth herein.
- 60. As a result of Defendants' intentional, malicious, willful, wanton, reckless acts, conduct and/or omissions, plaintiff was unlawfully restrained of his liberty, as well as being caused to suffer mental anguish, embarrassment, humiliation by being falsely imprisoned and arrested.
- 61. As a direct and proximate result of Defendants' intentional, knowing and/or reckless acts, conduct and/or omissions, as set forth above, plaintiff suffered injuries and damages, as set forth from paragraph thirteen through paragraph twenty of this Civil Action Complaint.

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff, Joseph Ruggiero, demands damages of the Defendants Douglas Shafer, Charles McHugh, Ryan Walsh, Torrence Amos, Jr., Chand Patella, and, John Doe Numbers One through Ten jointly and/or severally, in a sum in excess of \$75,000.00 plus interest, costs and other relief which the Court may deem appropriate.

# FOURTH CAUSE OF ACTION STATE LAW CLAIM FOR PUNATIVE DAMAGES JOSEPH RUGGIERO v.

### ALL DEFENDANTS

- 62. By this reference plaintiff incorporates each and every allegation and averment set forth in Paragraphs 1 through 61 of this Complaint, as though fully set forth herein.
- 63. The conduct of defendants, jointly and/or severally, individually and/or by and through their agents, bouncer, employees and/or security staff constituted an intentional, knowing and/or reckless disregard and/or indifference for the safety of another, plaintiff, which was permitted to occur through defendants' express, actual tacit and/or constructive consent and/or actual or constructive knowledge of said attack, knowing or having reason to know of facts that would lead a reasonable person in defendants' position to realize, not only that this conduct created an unreasonable risk of physical harm to another, but also that the risk was substantially greater than that which would otherwise make defendants' conduct a failure to exercise ordinary care.
- 64. It is believed and averred that defendants, jointly and/or severally, permitted such an action to occur, and/or failed to prevent its occurrence, notwithstanding defendants, opportunity to prevent such harm. It is believed and averred that plaintiff's attack occurred through the willful, knowing and/or reckless disregard of and/or deviation from industry standards, reasonable practices, and/or defendants' own policies and procedures and/or defendants' willful, knowing or reckless failure to follow such standards, enforce them, and/or establish such policies, procedures and/or practices as a proximate result of defendants' failure to use and/or disregard for proper or adequate staff selection, hiring, training, supervision and/or management of defendants' employees, agents, bouncers and/or security staff who attacked plaintiff.
- 65. Based upon information and belief, defendants, by and through their agents, employees, bouncers and/or security staff, have engaged in reprehensible conduct in this incident and prior attacks.
- 66. Based upon information and belief, there have been other incidents of patron assault at defendants' premises, before and after plaintiff's incident discussed herein.

67. Defendants conduct, jointly and/or severally, is outrageous and intolerable and warrants

exemplary damages. Based upon information and belief, the attack upon plaintiff was with the expressed

and/or implicit approval of defendants' management, who expressly and/or implied, authorized the doing

and/or refusal to implement or enforce policies to prevent the attack.

68. Based upon information and belief, defendants' employees and/or management ratified,

expressly or implicitly, and/or otherwise approved of the attack of plaintiff.

69. For all of which harm and/or unreasonable, reckless and/or outrageous conduct, including

but not limited to defendants' reckless disregard for the safety or well being of others, plaintiff respectfully

requests punitive damages to be awarded against all named defendants, jointly and/or severally.

WHEREFORE. Plaintiff. Joseph Ruggiero, demands damages of the Defendants The Grog, The Pub

Group, LLC, d/b/a The Grog, and/or, the Pub Group II, LLC, d/b/a the Grog, Douglas Shafer, Charles

McHugh, Ryan Walsh, Torrence Amos, Jr., Chand Patella, and, John Doe Numbers One through Ten jointly

and/or severally, in a sum in excess of \$75,000.00 plus interest, costs and other relief which the Court may

deem appropriate.

THE CHOTINER FIRM

Dated: 1. / \_ / ...

L. KENNETH CHOTINER, ESQUIRE

Counsel for Plaintiff

1818 Market Street, Suite 3620

Philadelphia, PA 19103

215.564.6544

DANIEL SCHWARTZ

DISA

Dated: 8/8/i

DANIEL SCHWARTZ, ESQUIRE PA #76262 Co-Counsel for Plaintiff 2370 York Road, Suite A-4 Jamison, PA 18929 (215) 491-3500