

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA**

JOSEPH PATRICK LAWSON,	:	
Plaintiff,	:	CIVIL ACTION
	:	No. 12-6100
v.	:	
	:	
CITY OF COATESVILLE, et. al.,	:	
Defendants.	:	

ORDER

AND NOW this __19th__ day of __August__, 2014, it is **ORDERED** that Defendants' Motion for Summary Judgment (ECF No. 36) is **GRANTED** in part and **DENIED** in part as follows:

- Defendants' Motion for Summary Judgment as to Plaintiff's § 1983 claims for Fourth Amendment false arrest, unlawful search, false imprisonment, and malicious prosecution is **GRANTED** as to Julius Canale.
- Defendants' Motion for Summary Judgment as to Plaintiff's § 1983 claims for Fourth Amendment false arrest, unlawful search, false imprisonment, and malicious prosecution is **DENIED** as to Brenden Boyle and Jeffrey Ingemie.¹
- Defendants' Motion for Summary Judgment as to Plaintiff's § 1983 claims for Fourteenth Amendment violations of the Equal Protection Clause and the Due Process Clause is **GRANTED** as to all Defendants.
- Defendants' Motion for Summary Judgment as to Plaintiff's § 1985 claims is **GRANTED** as to all Defendants.

¹ Because there is an outstanding question as to whether Defendants had probable cause to arrest Lawson, qualified immunity is denied at this time.

- Defendants' Motion for Summary Judgment as to Plaintiff's state law claims for false arrest, false imprisonment, and malicious prosecution is **GRANTED** as to Julius Canale.
- Defendants' Motion for Summary Judgment as to Plaintiff's state law claims for false arrest, false imprisonment, and malicious prosecution is **DENIED** as to Brenden Boyle and Jeffrey Ingemie.
- Defendants' Motion for Summary Judgment as to Plaintiff's state law civil conspiracy claim is **GRANTED** as to all Defendants.

s/Anita B. Brody

ANITA B. BRODY, J.

Copies **VIA ECF** on _____ to:

Copies **MAILED** on _____ to: