## IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA

FEDERAL DEPOSIT :

INSURANCE CORP. as :

RECEIVER for NOVA BANK, :

Plaintiff, : CIVIL ACTION

No. 12-7231

v.

:

HILLARY G. MUSSER, :

Defendant. :

## **ORDER**

**AND NOW**, this \_28th\_\_\_ day of February, 2017, it is **ORDERED** that:

- Plaintiff's Motion for Summary Judgment on Count I (ECF No. 73) is **DENIED**;
- As to Plaintiff's Motion to Strike Defendant's Affirmative Defenses (ECF No. 74)<sup>1</sup> is **GRANTED IN PART AND DENIED IN PART**, as follows:
  - Plaintiff's motion to strike the affirmative defense of breach of fiduciary duty is **DENIED without prejudice**;
  - Plaintiff's motion to strike the affirmative defense of fraud in the inducement is GRANTED, and Plaintiff's motion to strike the affirmative defense of fraud in the factum is DENIED without prejudice;
  - Plaintiff's motion to strike the affirmative defense of the UTPCPL is **DENIED** without prejudice;
  - Plaintiff's motion to strike the affirmative defense of unclean hands as to Counts
    I, II, and III is GRANTED;
  - Plaintiff's motion to strike the affirmative defense of lack of subject matter jurisdiction is **GRANTED**;

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> The FDIC styled its motion as a Motion to Strike or, in the Alternative, for Summary Judgment on Each of Defendant's Affirmative Defenses. Because the Parties have not completed discovery in this case, I am ruling on the FDIC's motion as a motion to strike affirmative defenses. After the close of discovery, the FDIC may move for summary judgment on any remaining affirmative defenses.

- Plaintiff's motion to strike the affirmative defense of lack of signature is GRANTED;
- o Plaintiff's motion to strike the affirmative defense of recoupment is **GRANTED**;<sup>2</sup>
- Plaintiff's motion to strike the affirmative defense of failure of consideration is GRANTED as to Count II and DENIED without prejudice as to Counts I and III.

A memorandum will follow.	
	s/Anita B. Brody
	ANITA B. BRODY, J.
Copies VIA ECF on to:	Copies MAILED on to:

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> I will reconsider the validity of Musser's recoupment defense and the Parties' relevant briefing as part of my future ruling on the recently filed Motion for Reconsideration (ECF No. 88).