IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA

RONALD GOODE, Petitioner v. M. FARRELL, et. al. Respondents	CIVIL ACTION NO. 13-3680	FILED OCT - 2 2014 MICHAEL E. KUNZ, Clerk ByDep. Clerk	
·	:		
	DRDER , 2014, upon ca	areful and	
independent consideration of the Petition for V	Writ of Habeas Corpus (Doc. 3) file	ed September 16,	
2013,' Petitioner's Amended Petition for Writ of	of Habeas Corpus (Doc. 13) filed De	ecember 18,	
2013, the Response to Petition for Writ of Hab	beas Corpus (Doc. 32) filed April 1	5, 2014,	
Petitioner's Reply (Doc. 33) filed April 21, 20	14, and after review of the Report	and	
Recommendation of United States Magistrate	Judge Henry S. Perkin dated May	1, 2014,	
IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that	at:		
1. the Report and Recommendation is APPROVED and ADOPTED;			
2. the Petition for Writ of Habeas Corpus is DENIED without prejudice and			
DISMISSED without an evidentiary hearing; a	and		
3. there is no probable cause	3. there is no probable cause to issue a certificate of appealability.		

BY THE COURT:

MICHAEL M. BAYLSON, J.

10/2/14

As noted by my August 15, 2013 Order, when Petitioner initially filed his Petition for a Writ of Habeas Corpus (Doc. 1), he did not use the current standard 28 U.S.C. § 2241 form as has been required by this court since October 2009. <u>See</u> Doc. 2. Petitioner was provided with a blank copy of the Court's current standard form for *habeas corpus* relief, which he completed, and returned to the Clerk of Court for filing on September 16, 2013. <u>See</u> Doc. 3.