

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA

SHANNON MACKERETH; JONATHAN
YOUNG; and ALYSSA WETZEL, on
behalf of themselves and all others similarly
situated,

Plaintiffs,

v.

KOOMA, INC. d/b/a KOOMA; KOOMA
VIET INC.; KOOMA RIVERFRONT;
KOOMA II, LLC; SCOTT KIM; JANG
MOON; YUNG H. KWUN; JIN Y KIM;
and DOE DEFENDANTS 1-10,

Defendants.

CIVIL ACTION
NO. 14-04824

ORDER

AND NOW, this 14th day of May, 2015, upon consideration of Defendants' Motion to Dismiss, (Doc. No. 32), Plaintiffs' response in opposition, (Doc. No. 33), and Defendants' reply, (Doc. No. 34), is it hereby **ORDERED** that:

1. The Motion to Dismiss is **GRANTED IN PART** and **DENIED IN PART**.
2. Count V is **DISMISSED**.
3. Counts I-IV, VI, and VII against defendants Kooma Riverfront, Scott Kim, Jang Moon, Yung H. Kwun and Jin Y. Kim are **DISMISSED**.
4. Defendants' Motion to Dismiss Counts I-IV, VI, and VII against Kooma, Inc., Kooma Viet Inc., and Kooma II, LLC is **DENIED**.

BY THE COURT:

/s/ Gerald J. Pappert
GERALD J. PAPPERT, J.