

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA

ORLANDO EDNEY,

Petitioner,

v.

THE COMMONWEALTH OF
PENNSYLVANIA, et al.,

Respondents.

CIVIL ACTION
NO. 14-4994

ORLANDO EDNEY,

Petitioner,

v.

COMMONWEALTH OF PENNSYLVANIA,
et al.,

Respondents.

CIVIL ACTION
NO. 15-956

ORDER

AND NOW, this 19th day of January 2016, upon careful and independent consideration of the Petitions for Writ of Habeas Corpus (Civil Action No. 14-4994, Doc. Nos. 4, 15; Civil Action No. 15-956, Doc. No. 4), and after review of the Report and Recommendation of United States Magistrate Judge Elizabeth T. Hey (Civil Action No. 14-4994, Doc. No. 30; Civil Action No. 15-956, Doc. No. 34), it is **ORDERED** as follows:

1. The Report and Recommendation of United States Magistrate Judge Elizabeth T. Hey (Civil Action No. 14-4994, Doc. No. 30; Civil Action No. 15-956, Doc. No. 34) is **APPROVED** and **ADOPTED**;
2. The Petitions for a Writ of Habeas Corpus (Civil Action No. 14-4994, Doc. Nos. 4, 15; Civil Action No. 15-956, Doc. No. 4) are **DENIED**;

3. Petitioner's requests that the state charges be dismissed, for the appointment of counsel, and for removal of the state prosecution to this forum are **DENIED**;
4. Petitioner's Motion for the Appointment of Counsel (Civil Action No. 14-4994, Doc. No. 29) is **DENIED**;
5. A Certificate of Appealability **SHALL NOT** issue because, based on the analysis contained in the Magistrate Judge's Report and Recommendation, as approved and adopted by this Court, a reasonable jurist could not conclude that the Court is incorrect in denying and dismissing the Habeas Petitions. See 28 U.S.C. § 2253(c)(2); Slack v. McDaniel, 529 U.S. 473 (2000); and
6. The Clerk of Court shall close these cases for statistical purposes.

BY THE COURT:

/s/ Joel H. Slomsky
JOEL H. SLOMSKY, J.