## IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA

SARA ROSENBERG, et al.,

Plaintiffs,

**CIVIL ACTION** 

v.

NO. 14-5608

DVI RECEIVABLES, XIV, LLC, et al.,

Defendants.

## **ORDER**

AND NOW, this 4th day of June 2015, upon consideration of Defendants' motion to dismiss [Doc. No. 4] and Plaintiffs' responses in opposition thereto, and for the reasons stated in the accompanying memorandum opinion, it is hereby **ORDERED** that the Motion is **GRANTED** as follows: the Complaint is **DISMISSED WITH PREJUDICE** because the tortious interference claim asserted is preempted by 11 U.S.C. § 303(i). IT IS FURTHER **ORDERED** that the Clerk of Court shall **CLOSE** this case.

It is so **ORDERED**.

BY THE COURT:

/s/ Cynthia M. Rufe

CYNTHIA M. RUFE, J.