## IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA

VIRGIL BARCLAY : CIVIL ACTION

i NO 44 60

v. : NO. 14-6257

PHILLIP WASHINGTON, C/O,
MICHAEL WENEROWICZ, Superintendent,
JOHN DOE, Captain, JOHN DOE, Lt., C/O
JOHN DOE 1, Sgt. JOHN DOE and C/O
JOHN DOE 2

FILED
OCT 1 6 2015
MICHAEL E. KUNZ, Clerk
By\_\_\_\_\_\_\_Dep. Clerk

## **ORDER**

AND NOW, this 15th day of October, 2015, upon consideration of the Defendant Phillip Washington's Motion to Dismiss Under Rule 12(b)(6) (Document No. 18) and the plaintiff's response, it is **ORDERED** that the motion is **GRANTED IN PART** and **DENIED IN PART**.

## IT IS FURTHER ORDERED as follows:

- 1. To the extent the motion seeks dismissal of the plaintiff's state law cause of action in Count V, it is **GRANTED**;
  - 2. Count V of the plaintiff's amended complaint is **DISMISSED**; and
  - 3. In all other respects, the motion is **DENIED**.

TIMOTHY J. SAVAGE, J.