

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA**

GARY WILLIAMS, et al.,

Plaintiffs,

v.

**U-HAUL INTERNATIONAL, INC., U-
HAUL CO. OF CALIFORNIA, COLUSA
QUICK SERVICE MARKET, K&B
TRANS., INC.**

Defendants.

⋮
⋮
⋮
⋮
⋮
⋮
⋮
⋮
⋮
⋮
⋮
⋮
⋮
⋮
⋮

CIVIL ACTION

NO. 14-6727

ORDER

AND NOW, this __ 14th __ day of January, 2015, upon consideration Defendants’ U-Haul International, Inc., U-Haul Co. of California, and Colusa Quick Serve Market Motion to Dismiss or, in the Alternative, a Motion for a More Definite Statement (Doc. 7) and Plaintiff’s Response (Doc. 12), **IT IS HEREBY ORDERED AND DECREED** that Defendants’ Motion to Dismiss is **DENIED IN PART AND GRANTED IN PART** as follows:

(1) Defendants’ Motion to Dismiss is **DENIED** as to Counts I, V, and VI of the Fifth Amended Complaint.¹

(2) Defendants’ Motion to Dismiss is **GRANTED** as to Counts II and III of the Fifth Amended Complaint.

(3) Defendants’ Motion in the Alternative for a More Definite Statement is **DENIED**.²

¹ Defendants do not challenge the claims alleged in Count V and VI.

² This Order accompanies the Court’s Memorandum Opinion dated January 14, 2015.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that Defendants' Request for Leave to File a Reply Brief
(Doc. 16) is **DENIED**.

BY THE COURT:

/s/ Petrese B. Tucker

Hon. Petrese B. Tucker, U.S.C.J.