IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA

ELIZABETH ANN NACE,	
	Plaintiff,
v.	

CIVIL ACTION

NO. 15-333

FAITH CHRISTIAN ACADEMY, RYAN CLYMER AND RUSSELL HOLLENBACH,

Defendants.

ORDER

AND NOW, this 29th day of March, 2019,

1. WHEREAS, on August 6, 2018, the U.S. Court of Appeals for the Third Circuit vacated and remanded this Court's grant of summary judgment in favor of Defendants on Plaintiff's negligence per se claim, and directed this Court to "assess whether there exists a genuine dispute of material fact on the other required elements for a negligence per se claim," see Nace v. Pennridge Sch. Dist., 744 Fed.Appx. 58, 64 (3d Cir. Aug. 6, 2018);

2. WHEREAS, the vacatur of this Court's summary judgment decision rendered

Defendant's Motion to Preclude Testimony of Plaintiff's Expert Brad M. Jackman ripe for adjudication;

3. WHEREAS, the Court has considered the parties' supplemental briefing, Defendant Faith Christian Academy's Supplemental Memorandum in Support of Summary Judgment (ECF No. 112); Plaintiff's Supplemental Memorandum in Opposition thereto (ECF No. 115); Defendant Faith Christian Academy's Reply in Support thereof (ECF No. 116); Plaintiff's Sur-Reply in Opposition thereto (ECF No. 119); Defendants Ryan Clymer and Russell Hollenbach's

Supplemental Memorandum in Support of Summary Judgment (ECF No. 111); and Plaintiff's Supplemental Memorandum in Opposition thereto (ECF No. 114);

IT IS ORDERED that:

- With respect to Plaintiff's negligence *per se* claim, Defendant Faith Christian Academy's motion for summary judgment (ECF No. 53) is **DENIED**;
- Defendants Clymer and Hollenbach's motion for summary judgment to preclude punitive damages (ECF No. 52) is **DENIED**;
- Defendant Faith Christian Academy's motion in limine to preclude the testimony of Brad M. Jackson, Esq. (ECF No. 51) is **DENIED**.

BY THE COURT:

/s/Wendy Beetlestone, J.

WENDY BEETLESTONE, J.