Doc. 25 BROWN v. MOONEY et al

> FILED NOV 16 2016 IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA

RAHEEM BROWN,

Petitioner, CIVIL ACTION

v.

VINCENT T. MOONEY, et al.,

No. 15-1495

Respondents

independent consideration of Raheem Brown's petition for writ of habeas corpus (Doc. No. 1), Raheem Brown's memorandum of law (Doc. No. 6), the Commonwealth's response in opposition (Doc. No. 14), Raheem Brown's petition for permission to file a second habeas corpus petition (Doc. No. 18), the Commonwealth's response to the petition for permission to file a second habeas corpus petition (Doc. No. 20), and the Report and Recommendation of U.S. Magistrate Judge Richard A. Lloret, it is ORDERED that:

- 1. The Report and Recommendation of Magistrate Judge Richard A. Lloret is APPROVED and ADOPTED:
- 2. Brown's Petition for Writ of Habeas Corpus is DENIED and DISMISSED with prejudice;
- 3. No certificate of appealability shall issue under 28 U.S.C. § 2253(c)(1)(A) because "the applicant has [not] made a substantial showing of the denial of a constitutional right[,]" under 28 U.S.C. § 2253(c)(2), since he has not demonstrated that "reasonable jurists" would find my "assessment of the constitutional claims debatable or wrong." Slack v. McDaniel, 529 U.S. 473,

ENTERED NOV 1 6 2016 CLERK OF COURT 484 (2000); see United States v. Cepero, 224 F.3d 256, 262-63 (3d Cir. 2000), abrogated on other grounds by Gonzalez v. Thaler, ____ U.S. ____, 132 S. Ct. 641 (2012); and,

4. The Clerk of Court shall mark this file closed.

BY THE COURT:

HON. CURTIS JOYNER