

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA**

JOSEPH VITALE, et al	:	
Plaintiffs,	:	
	:	CIVIL ACTION
v.	:	
	:	NO. 15-cv-01815-RAL
ELECTROLUX HOME	:	
PRODUCTS, INC:	:	
Defendant.	:	

**ORDER**

**AND NOW**, this 13th day of August, 2018, for the reasons set forth in the accompanying memorandum opinion, it is hereby **ORDERED** that Plaintiffs' Omnibus Motion to Preclude Certain Evidence (ECF No. 47) is **GRANTED in part** and **DENIED in part**; Plaintiffs' Motion *in Limine* to Exclude Industry Standard Evidence and the Dryer Design Opinions of Randall Bills, P.E. (ECF No. 70) is **DENIED**; and Defendant's motions *in limine* (ECF Nos. 36, 41, 43, 44, 46, 48, 49, 50, 51, 52, and 53) are disposed of as follows: ECF No. 36 is **DENIED**, except that Mr. Stoddard may not testify as to whether having formal internal product safety standards or training is a standard of care in the area of dryer product design, nor may Mr. Stoddard opine as to any causal link between the absence of a formal internal product safety standards and the design flaws in the product; ECF No. 41 is **DENIED**, and the Vitales' cross-motion in response is **DENIED**; ECF No. 43 is **GRANTED**; ECF No. 44 is **GRANTED**; ECF No. 46 is **GRANTED**; ECF No. 48 and ECF No. 50 are **GRANTED in part**; ECF No. 49 is **GRANTED in part**; ECF No. 51 is **DENIED**; ECF No. 52 is **DENIED**; and ECF No. 53 is **DENIED**.

**SO ORDERED:**

/s/ Richard A. Lloret  
RICHARD A. LLORET  
U.S. Magistrate Judge

