

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA**

GEORGE VINCENT KUBIS,
Petitioner,

v.

**THE DISTRICT ATTORNEY OF
BUCKS COUNTY, et al.,**
Respondents.

:
:
:
:
:
:
:
:
:
:

CIVIL ACTION 15-CV-2142

ORDER

AND NOW, this 29th day of July, 2020, in consideration of Petitioner George Vincent Kubis’s Motion for Relief from Judgment Under Fed. R. Civ. P. 60(b) (ECF No. 49), it is **ORDERED** that:

1. The Motion is **DISMISSED** for lack of jurisdiction for the reasons set forth in the Court’s Memorandum accompanying this Order.
2. A Certificate of Appealability is **DENIED** pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 2253(c) because reasonable jurists would not debate the propriety of this Court’s procedural ruling with respect to these claims. *See Slack v. McDaniel*, 529 U.S. 473, 484 (2000).

BY THE COURT:

/s/ Wendy Beetlestone
WENDY BEETLESTONE, J.