ARDITI v. SUBERS et al Doc. 56

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA

:

RAY A. ARDITI,

:

Plaintiff,

CIVIL ACTION

:

v.

:

POLICE OFFICER RICHARD SUBERS,

No. 15-5511

et al.,

Defendants.

No. 15-3

ORDER

AND NOW, this _____ day of June, 2016, upon consideration of Defendant Randolph McGoldrick's Motion to Dismiss (Docket No. 48) and Plaintiff's Opposition thereto (Docket No. 54), it is hereby **ORDERED** that Defendant's Motion (Docket No. 48) is **GRANTED**. Counts I and II of Plaintiff's Third Amended Complaint are **DISMISSED** as to Defendant Randolph McGoldrick only. 1

BY THE COURT:

S/Gene E.K. Pratter
GENE E.K. PRATTER
United States District Judge

¹ Because Chief McGoldrick did not move to dismiss Counts III and IV, he remains a Defendant in this case.