IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA

COURTNEY GATTER,
Plaintiff,

v.

IKA-WORKS, INC.,
Defendant.

ORDER

AND NOW, this 16th day of December, 2016, upon consideration of Defendant's Motion for Summary Judgment (ECF No. 34); Plaintiff's Response in Opposition thereto (ECF No. 36); and Plaintiff's Reply in Support thereof (ECF No. 40), after oral argument and for the reasons stated in the Court's Opinion of December 16, 2016 (ECF No. 44), **IT IS ORDERED** that Defendant's motion is **GRANTED in part and DENIED in part** as follows:

- (1) The motion is **GRANTED** with respect to Plaintiff's retaliation claims; and
- (2) The motion is **DENIED** with respect to Plaintiff's disparate treatment and hostile work environment claims.

BY THE COURT:
/S/WENDY BEETLESTONE, J.
WENDY REETLESTONE. I